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PREFACE

The Faculty Handbook is designed to serve as a general guide to faculty members concerning Ajman University principles, policies, procedures, services, and over-all information expected to be of pertinence. The Handbook shall be used as a reference and offer guidance to faculty on many aspects of the university; hence, the need for faculty members to read, understand, and comply with the policies, as well as all applicable laws and regulations.

The Faculty Handbook should not be interpreted as a contract between the university and the employee. Policies and/or procedures may be modified or updated on a regular basis by the Office of the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs in consultation with the Chancellor. In such circumstances, the affected university populations will be notified of such changes; and the new or revised policies and/or procedure shall supersede any previous policies or procedures.
I. History of Ajman University

Ajman University was founded in 1988 as the first private university in the GCC. The university was established by His Highness Sheikh Humaid Bin Rashid Al-Nuaimi, Member of the Supreme Council and Ruler of Ajman. On 17th June 1988, His Highness issued an Emiri Decree establishing Ajman University College (AUCST), as AUST was then called, and the first intake of students commenced its learning journey at AUCST on 15th September that year. The Ministry of Higher Education and Research decree No 54 of 1997, brought a name change to AUCST as it became Ajman University of Science & technology (AUST). The University offers 23 accredited undergraduate programs and 10 accredited graduate programs, which aim to provide the community with competent graduates capable of meeting the demands of an ever-changing job market and of supporting the overall development and prosperity of the UAE. The name of the University has been changed to Ajman University (AU) on November 3, 2016.

II. University Mission & Vision Statement

1. Mission Statement

Ajman University (AU) is a multicultural academic institution that offers a broad range of high quality and relevant academic programs. The University strives to fulfil the needs of students, alumni, employers, and society through quality education, scholarship and community engagement. AU develops well-rounded graduates who are professionally competent, socially responsible, innovative and active contributors to sustainable development of the UAE and beyond.

2. Vision Statement

Ajman University aims to be internationally recognized as one of the leading universities in the Arab world in terms of cutting-edge learning, impactful research and responsible outreach and community engagement.
III. University Organization Structure & Governance

1. Structure

The revised AU organizational chart has been approved by the Board of Trustees (meeting held on 17 October 2016).
2. The Board

The first Board of Trustees of AU was constituted with the Amiri Decree Number 4 for the Year 2007 of His Highness Sheikh Humaid Bin Rashid Al Nuaimi Member of the Supreme Council of the UAE, Ruler of Ajman. AU’s 2007 Board includes eight articles; Article Number 3 shows that the Board was composed of 15 members under the Presidency of His Highness Sheikh Humaid Bin Rashid Al Nuaimi. The board membership represented the UAE Supreme Council, Ajman Government, businessmen from the UAE and the Gulf region and the academic community of the UAE and abroad.
The AU Board of Trustees was reformed with the Amiri Decree Number 11 of the Year 2012 of His Highness Sheikh Humaid Bin Rashid Al Nuaimi, Member of the Supreme Council of the UAE, Ruler of Ajman. AU’s 2012 Board includes nine articles; Article Number 2 shows that the Board was composed of 11 members under the Presidency of His Highness Sheikh Humaid Bin Rashid Al Nuaimi. The board membership represented the UAE Supreme Council, Ajman Government, businesspersons from the UAE and the academic community of the UAE and abroad.

The AU Board of Trustees was reformed with the Amiri Decree Number 13 of the Year 2014 of His Highness Sheikh Humaid Bin Rashid Al Nuaimi, Member of the Supreme Council of the UAE, Ruler of Ajman. AU’s 2014 Board includes nine articles; Article Number 2 shows that the Board was composed of 9 members under the Presidency of His Highness Sheikh Humaid Bin Rashid Al Nuaimi. The board membership represented the UAE Supreme Council, Ajman Government, businesspersons from the UAE and the academic community of the UAE and abroad. The reform of the Board is intended to strengthen UAE community representation and ensure the involvement of members in the Board’s committees.

3. Institutional Governance

The majority of Board members have no fiduciary investments in AU and the owners of the institution are not involved in its day-to-day operations. The members of AU’s 2014 Board are directly involved in academic, financial and investment committees of the Board in order to insure the University’s compliance with the MHE Standards. The Chancellor has the full authority and responsibility to run the institution efficiently and effectively. AU Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs ensures the capabilities and capacities of the college Deans and academic faculty, and conducts their annual assessment before the renewal of contracts. Students, department heads and AU’s higher administration take part in the annual assessment process. Feedback on annual evaluation is communicated to executives, deans and faculty members in order to improve their performance.

Deans, as members of the Academic Affairs Council, and faculties, as members of the Department Councils, have a major role to play in decision-making at AU. As per MHE regulations, male and female Students have the opportunity to participate in the decision-making processes within the institution.
IV. Faculty Rights & Responsibilities

1. Faculty Role

Faculty members are responsible for developing, evaluating, and periodically updating all educational programs offered by the University. In every College, committees comprising of faculty members analyze the feedback received from various sources and accordingly revise and update the courses and curriculum. The same applies to the development of laboratories, training programs, and other related aspects of an academic program. For every program, a faculty member is assigned as the Program Coordinator who has the overall responsibility of program coordination, curriculum development, assessment and updating.

They are also required to take part in service which includes a) department, college and university service, b) academic and professional activities outside AU, and c) contribution to students’ co-curricular activities.

1.1 Faculty Member Job Description

The following statement is intended to describe the general nature and level of work being performed by the faculty member; and it is not intended to be construed as an exhaustive list of all responsibilities, duties, and skills required.

1.1.1 Essential Duties and Responsibilities

- Develop and deliver courses to students in specified discipline areas of study.
- Evaluate and monitor individual student’s progress and provide feedback and guidance.
- Research, organize and manage instructional resources.
- Provide consultative expertise, as a subject matter expert, to the local industry through pre-approved partnership projects by the University.
- Ensure a respectful and culturally sensitive learning environment in all activities.
- Establish and maintain effective work relationships.
- Motivate and inspire confidence in others (students and colleagues) to overcome limitations to personal growth and success.
- Ensure required training equipment and supplies are set up in advance and materials are available.
- Actively seek out methods, procedures and resources that best achieve course and program objectives.
- Support and participate in accreditation process.
- Maintain relationships with students that are conducive to learning.
- Perform miscellaneous job related duties as assigned by the Head of Department and university management.
1.1.2. Supervision

- Report to Head of Department (if applicable) or to the Dean of College (if there is no Head of Department).
- Subordinates: Supervise the work of students and Teaching Assistants.

1.1.3. Knowledge and Skills

- Ability to communicate effectively, both orally and in writing.
- Knowledge of admission standards and regulations.
- Knowledge of the “Credit Hour” academic system
- Ability to foster a cooperative work environment.
- Ability to develop and deliver presentations.
- Ability to perform complex tasks and to prioritize multiple projects.
- Ability to work effectively with diverse multi-cultural community
- Ability to create, compose and edit written materials.
- Knowledge of professional practices and techniques in area of specialty.
- Ability to make administrative/procedural decisions and judgments.
- Program planning and implementation skills.
- Knowledge of computerized student information systems.

2. Program Director Role

The program director of the MSc program is the academic leader of the program. He/She is responsible for all activities related to the development and delivery of the program and shall coordinate with the concerned faculty members for the overall management and development. The program director will report to the Dean.

The key responsibilities of the program director are:

1. Develop, revise and update the program curriculum in consultation with the course coordinators.
2. With the individual course coordinators, propose modifications and/or changes of program curriculum to the Curriculum Development Committee.
3. In consultation with course coordinators and respective unit Head, advise the Dean on development of the facilities required for the program including but not limited to clinical, laboratory, research, library as well as recruitment of faculty and staff in response to the needs of the program.
4. Identify areas in need of improvement in the program in consultation with course coordinators and take appropriate actions.
5. Maintain and ensure, in consultation with the course coordinators, that course learning outcomes are in line with the program outcomes.
6. With the respective unit Head, identify, and confirm the availability of course coordinators and ensure that they are aware of their duties and responsibilities.
7. Ensure that students are oriented to the outcomes of the program, and how the assessment processes are conducted.

8. Set and monitor the measurement instruments and benchmarking of the program, analyze the student and faculty evaluation forms noting suggested improvements, and send a summary to the Dean and the Assessment and Continuous Improvement Committee (ACIC), as well as communicate with the Office of Institutional Planning and Effectiveness (OIPE) regarding assessment results.

9. Ensure the implementation of the changes recommended by the ACIC.

10. Analyze the success of the implemented recommendations in the following academic year.

11. Be responsible for the administrative issues related to faculty assignments and schedules for the clinical and didactic components of the program.

12. Monitor the standardization of assessment methods and calibration of instructors and examiners.

13. Advise the Dean on faculty evaluation and performance in the MSc program.

14. In consultation with faculty staff, coordinate external examiners’ short list and visiting professors and advise the Dean accordingly.

15. Identify external research collaboration opportunities and liaison with corporate institutions for training and research opportunities.

16. Represent the program at meetings of Department/College Council, professional associations, governmental agencies and other groups nationally and internationally.

3. Faculty Responsibilities

The primary responsibility of faculty members is to teach, conduct research, acquire skills through ongoing training, and take part in service which includes a) department, college and university service, b) academic and professional activities outside AU, and c) contribution to students’ co-curricular activities. Other responsibilities, as stipulated in the contract, relate to professional development, institutional confidentiality, professional ethics, as well as responsibilities towards the development of their Department, College and the University.

2.1 Teaching

Faculty members are required to:

- Teach AU students in classes and in laboratories (as applicable).
- Establish course policies and requirements.
- Establish clear grading standards in order to fairly evaluate students based on their academic performance.
- Use of technology and participant-centered techniques to enhance learning.
- Advise students on an ongoing basis outside regularly scheduled classes.
- Supervise graduation (capstone) projects.
- Supervise students’ research projects, such as term papers and practical works, within the rules and regulations of the Department, College and University.
- Maintain professional demeanor within and outside the classroom.
- Adhere to the University administrative policies and procedures on issues related to exams, incompletes, withdrawals, drop-adds, and so on.

2.2 Academic Advising

Faculty members are required to know the academic rules, regulations and procedures that apply to students’ advising responsibilities. They are also required to be familiar with different University services provided to students. In that regard, faculty members should:

- Schedule office hours in order to meet with students outside prescheduled class meetings.
- Post office hours and include them in the course syllabus.
- Ensure that the number of office hours reflect the teaching load and size of the classes. Accordingly, the office hours shall range from 6-10 hours as approved by the Head of Department.
- Be in the office during office hours.
- Ensure that office hours are flexible enough to provide reasonable contact with students who may have class conflicts.
- Make it possible for students to make appointments outside of scheduled office hours.
- Schedule additional office hours during registration periods.
- Participate and assist other faculty members and students in the registration process.
- Keep, update, and refer to students’ records during each advising session.

2.3 Research

Ajman University (AU) considers research an essential activity in the production and dissemination of knowledge. AU faculty members are encouraged to devote a reasonable amount of time and effort to scholarly research in order to maintain academic competence and development of students’ education.

The following is a description of what constitutes “academic research”:

- Studies and works that can be published in books, journals and/or other means.
- Individual or group research to be published and/or presented in academic conferences or seminars.
- Research aiming at developing teaching skills and techniques.

AU shall support and facilitate cooperative joint research conducted in cooperation with public and private institutions. It shall also provide grants for research projects on university-wide competitive basis in accordance with its policies for internal funding. Furthermore, the University shall coordinate and provide assistance to faculty members for obtaining research grants from external sources. For externally funded research projects, AU will provide support to the Principal Investigator in utilization of approved funds in accordance with specified procedures. The Principal Investigator will be responsible for managing the research funds, submitting the progress and final reports, and closing the account at the completion of the research project.
2.4 Service

Service includes:

a. **Service to the University:** this includes administrative positions held, membership of committees at the department, college and university levels; recruiting of faculty and students, providing professional development workshops and training to faculty and staff; organizing conferences, serving in partner organizations boards, participating in fund raising campaigns, establishment of external connections and partnership etc..

b. **Service to Students:** Advising students, involvement in student clubs, mentoring and helping senior students in job placement and applications to graduate studies, providing research experience and other assistance for undergraduates, contributions to Ph.D. Masters' theses.

c. **Service to Community:** Presentation to community groups, writing articles for newspapers, media interviews, professional activities undertaken as a practitioner or a consultant, volunteering in community activities, serving in community boards and NGOs.

Recognition shall be given to faculty members who prove themselves as active administrators and developers of their respective departments and colleges. Services rendered by the faculty members to the local community and the United Arab Emirates, both in their special capacities as scholars and in areas beyond these special capacities when the work done is at a sufficiently high level and quality, shall likewise be recognized in the promotion process. These services may include organizing short courses, national/international conferences, seminars and workshops, authoring articles for the general public and translations from and into Arabic, etc. Contributions might also include identifying industry needs and elaborating coherent training programs in their areas of expertise, as well as establishing a link for technical cooperation between AU and other institutions in specific areas of expertise. Similarly, guidance and leadership in student activities and contribution in student counselling shall also be recognized and considered.

4. Principles of Academic Freedom

Academic freedom is vital in the establishment of a climate of academic integrity, and it applies to both teaching and research. Faculty are entitled to freedom of discussion of course subject and students the freedom to learn, in addition faculty are entitled to freedom of advancement and dissemination of knowledge through their research work and the publication of results. AU recognizes that successful discharge of these functions requires an atmosphere of intellectual freedom.

Since freedom entails responsibilities, faculty members are advised to accept the responsibilities associated with intellectual freedom. Those responsibilities are towards students, colleagues, University, and the larger community AU serves. For this purpose, AU has specified ethical guidelines for its faculty members to help them discharge their responsibilities in compliance with the University standards.

5. Faculty Professional Ethics

Ajman University (AU) requires its faculty members to be responsible for academic integrity and demonstrate high standards of professional ethics. They shall apply principles of justice, truthfulness, fairness, and respect
for others in all matters related to their work, especially when making decisions involving ethical dilemmas. They are required to ensure that people are fairly treated and not discriminated against, abused or exploited. They shall honor the rights of others and shall cause no harm to any individual. They shall respect the diversity of cultures and recognize fundamental human rights. They must keep in confidence all privileged information gained while employed at AU. When acting as referees, they must strive to be fair and objective.

6. Academic Honesty

Academic honesty is the pursuit of scholarly activity in an open, honest and responsible manner. Academic honesty is a basic guiding principle for all academic activities at the University, and all members of the University community are expected to act in accordance with this principle. Academic honesty includes a commitment not to engage in or tolerate acts of falsification, misrepresentation or deception. Such acts of dishonesty violate the fundamental ethical principles of the University community and compromise the worth of work completed by others. It is worth emphasizing here that the University expects all its faculty members to abide by the highest standards of professional ethics in all their activities conducted inside or outside the University campus.

7. Disciplinary Policy

AU requires that its faculty members demonstrate high standards of ethical behavior and act as role models for their students. It expects and demands that they adhere to those standards of conduct that are deemed necessary and appropriate for an educational institution.

Misconduct

The University expects its faculty members to abide by all rules and regulations and conduct in the best possible manner. The University shall take appropriate disciplinary action if a faculty member or professional staff is found to be involved in a case of misconduct. While it is not possible to list all acts and/or omissions that violate the desired conduct and may result in disciplinary action, the following are some of the more obvious acts or omissions that are unacceptable:

   a. Refusal to carry out the duties required by his/her position or refrain from abiding by the instructions under the employment contract, which are issued by the superior or the person in charge.
   b. Unauthorized distribution of literature in the work area or posting on institution property.
   c. Committing any act that might compromise an article of the contract or violate the regulations issued by the University from time to time regarding the safety and security of the computers and equipment inside the university.
   d. Racial or sexual harassment or any other form of harassment to any student, employee or visitor to the University.
   e. Absence without permission.
   f. Committing any act that might infringe an article of the contract or violate the regulations and policies issued by the University from time to time regarding safety and security.
g. Refusal to accomplish tasks stipulated upon in the employment contract and/or the internal laws of the University.

h. Disturbing the safety equipment, or acting in a manner that threatens the rules of safety and public health in the workplace or hindering the employees from carrying out their duties properly.

i. Falsification of any reports, reports pertaining to absence from work, and communications or records including personnel and production records.

j. Threatening, intimidating, coercing or interfering with any person on AU premises at any time.

k. Gaining unauthorized access to institution records and files whether they are locked or otherwise.

l. Theft of any property on institution premises.

m. Submission of false certificates and documents.

n. Professional negligence, which causes material or moral damage to the University.

o. Intentional breaching of the internal published laws of the University.

p. Divulging a professional secret.

q. Any substance abuse

r. Violation of the moral ethics of the UAE society.

Procedure

• A faculty member shall appear before a committee to be formed as per a decision from the Chancellor or his authorized representative. The committee composed of three or more members, shall investigate the facts referred to it by the Chancellor or his authorized representative.

• The committee shall conduct investigation sessions at the invitation of the Chancellor. These investigation sessions shall only be considered valid when attended by all members of the investigation committee. The recommendations of the Committee must have the concurrence of the majority. On equal terms, the Chancellor’s recommendation shall be favored. However, a recommendation for contract termination shall only be issued with the agreement of all committee members.

• A penalty may only be imposed on the faculty member involved after a written investigation of not more than 30 working days – if possible – as per the University academic calendar. This investigation shall include his/her statements, and these shall be first investigated. A justification for the penalty recommendation must be furnished as well.

• The Committee shall request the presence of the faculty member for investigation, by sending him a summons at least 24 hours before the date of the enquiry session. The committee shall safeguard the confidentiality of the investigation and the dignity of the faculty member. The summoning shall be considered legal and acceptable if sent to the faculty member via the faculty provided email to the University, or via an SMS the faculty mobile phone number registered in the University system.

• The committee shall hold investigation sessions in the presence of the faculty member under investigation and/or his/her representative. Should they both fail to appear without an acceptable excuse and despite the invitation, the committee shall decide on his/her case in absentia as if s/he is present. The investigation shall be conducted in a confidential way. The Committee shall have the right to hear the witnesses or anyone it deems fit as and when needed, in the absence of the faculty member involved and his authorized representative.
• The faculty member referred to investigation may submit any documents, statements, or defense he/she deems fit, in Arabic and / or any foreign language. A note on these shall be recorded in the minutes of investigation.

• The faculty member referred to investigation shall have the right to sight the complaint lodged against him. The Committee shall inform him/her, at least verbally, of the contents of the statements of all those heard (without being obliged to refer to their names). He/she shall be given sufficient time to prepare his/her defense.

Post-Investigation Procedures

• On completion of investigation, the Committee shall recommend any of the following:
  1. Archive the complaint for failure to prove the violation in question.
  2. Enforce one of the disciplinary penalties included in this policy, if the violation is verified.

• The Committee's recommendations must be sufficiently justified and reflect its full awareness and understanding of all the facts raised in the investigation. The Committee shall make the recommendations in a judicious way. All Committee members shall sign out the minutes of their meetings and recommendations.

• The Committee shall refer its recommendations and full minutes of investigation to the Chancellor or his authorized representative in maximum seven working days effective from the date of completing the investigation. He shall then take the decision he deems fit.

• The Office of the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs shall notify the faculty member referred to investigation of the decision taken with immediate effect. The faculty member involved is entitled to get a copy of the decision if s/he wishes.

Disciplinary Actions & Penalties

• The disciplinary measures and penalties taken or to be taken by the University shall remain separate from any criminal or civil proceedings involving the same offense filed or to be filed against him/her. The procedures and penalties shall apply even if the faculty member quits or has had his/her contract terminated for any reason whatsoever.

• The Committee shall have the right to seek help from all the University departments, units and sections in support to investigation. The Committee is also entitled to request reports from the same entities. It shall have the right to access all the documents helpful for investigation, yet without prejudice to the confidentiality of the University.

• The Committee may recommend a temporary suspension of the service of the faculty member if charged with a deliberate crime against life, property, honor, honesty or is involved in a strike.
  • The suspension period shall commence on the date of the notification of the incident to the competent authorities until the issuance of a decision thereby. The faculty member shall not be entitled to his wage during this suspension period. Should a decision be issued for the non-prosecution or the acquittal of the worker, the latter shall be reinstated and paid full wage against the suspension period.
In all cases, the Committee may recommend a temporary suspension of the faculty member service if needed, even if the breach he is involved in is not an offense. However, the faculty member shall collect his full wage during the suspension period even if later convicted at the end of investigation.

The disciplinary penalties that may be imposed on the faculty member have been stated in Article (102) of the labor law and their imposition is subject to the rules and procedures set out in the Labor Law. The disciplinary penalties are as follows:

a. Warning.
b. Fine.
c. Suspension with reduced pay for a period not exceeding ten days.
d. Deprivation from or deferment of periodic bonus if the University contains a system for such bonuses.
e. Deprivation from administrative promotion unrelated to academic position.
f. Dismissal from work without prejudice to the end of service gratuity
g. Dismissal from work and deprivation from the total end of service gratuity or a part thereof. Such penalty shall not be inflicted for reasons other than the ones mentioned exclusively in Article 120 of the Labor Law.

Appealing Against Decision

The faculty member may challenge the decision issued against him/her in a letter addressed to the University Chancellor or his authorized representative within maximum of seven working days, as per the University academic calendar, effective from the date of being notified orally or in writing. Otherwise, the decision shall be final and irreversible.

The appeal shall not be accepted in form unless it is filed within the legal period and meets one or more of the following conditions:

a. Failure to observe the investigation procedures provided for in the University Compliance Policy.
b. Emergence of previously unknown evidence that may have an impact on the content of the decision.
c. The disproportion between the violation and disciplinary penalty.

If the appeal form is accepted, the Chancellor or his authorized representative shall form another committee to consider it, if necessary. The Committee shall then submit its recommendation to the Chancellor or his authorized representative to take the appropriate decision, and that shall be final.

The notification shall be considered legal and acceptable if sent to the faculty member via the email provided to him/her by the University, or via an SMS to his/her mobile phone number registered in the University system.
V. Student Academic Integrity

This document sets out the University’s policy, which regulates the sanctions taken against students for academic dishonesty. The disciplinary committee is the authorized body to recommend actions against offenders of the Academic Integrity.

Plagiarism

Definition of Plagiarism

The act of plagiarism is committed when one uses the ideas or work of other people and the representation as one’s own original work. Ajman University deploys a powerful plagiarism detection software as well as other verification tools to detect any act of plagiarism. Plagiarism encompasses a wide range of forms, such as:

- Written research, books, articles, and theses.
- Graphic illustrations, images, and motion pictures.
- Graphs, maps and models
- Audiovisual material

a. Students are required to submit their own work for fair evaluation of the level of achievement of a specific learning outcome.
b. Each faculty should explain to their students that plagiarism is not at all acceptable and there are strict penalties for any act of plagiarism.
c. It is not forbidden to reproduce an idea or sentences from a book or an article as long as the student uses quotation marks and gives its source.
d. Every submitted project is expected to contain a reference section in which the student lists all the materials that he/she consulted or used in the project.

Examples of Academic Integrity Violations

The following list includes, but is not limited to, examples of violations under the Academic Integrity policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plagiarism</th>
<th>Test and Exam Rules</th>
<th>Other violations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Failing to acknowledge sources through the use of proper citations when using another’s works and/or failing to use quotation marks.</td>
<td>Attempting to read other students’ exam papers.</td>
<td>Co-operation or collaboration on an academic assignment, in whole or in part, when the instructor has indicated that the assignment is to be completed on an individual basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submitting any work written, in whole or in part, by someone else.</td>
<td>Speaking to another student (even if the subject matter is irrelevant to the test).</td>
<td>Disruption of classroom activities or periods of instruction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submitting a project by a third party, developed without major modifications as one's own.</td>
<td>Leaving answer papers exposed to view.</td>
<td>Improper access to confidential information such as exams or test questions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using ideas or material without appropriate acknowledgment in any academic assignment.</td>
<td>Writing an examination or part of it, or consulting any person or materials outside the confines of the examination room without permission to do so.</td>
<td>Misrepresentation of facts for any academic purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using another's data or research findings.</td>
<td>Using material not authorized by the examiner.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Student Awareness or Author Responsibility**

Students in the University shall be made aware of the consequences that could lead to the allegation for suspected plagiarism. Students are required to:

- Acquire necessary academic writing skills.
- Know what are the possible violations that can result into plagiarism.
- Know the accepted citation style.

The University acknowledges the need to create appropriate student awareness regarding the subject and provide necessary support to deal with the situations that can result into student being alleged. The University hails to:

- Educate the student community on the possible penalties involved in plagiarizing.
- Educate students on using Turnitin or other available (free) resources to check their work, like, PapersOwl, Grammarly, Quetext etc.
- Supporting faculties, colleges and departments to enlighten their students on the issue of plagiarism.
- The coursework or assignment sheet should contain the University’s stance on detected plagiarism and the related penalties.
- Senior Librarian to provide guidance on citations and how can this lead to Plagiarism.

**Disciplinary Penalties for Plagiarism**

Faculty members are responsible for checking acts of plagiarism in their courses. If a faculty determines that an act of plagiarism has been committed, a report of the incident with supporting evidence shall be submitted to the Head of Department who in turn will forward the report to the College Dean for further action. The Dean after confirming the act of plagiarism, shall refer the report to the Registrar where a copy of the report will be kept in the student file.

The following actions shall be taken against the student proven to have committed an act of plagiarism:
1. If the student has no previous record of plagiarism in any course, a zero grade is given to the submitted work.

2. A student committing a second plagiarism offense in any course will be awarded a failing grade on that course and shall pay the full course fees. The plagiarism offence shall be noted in the student's record of grades and marked with "XF".

3. A student committing a third plagiarism offense in any course will be awarded a failing grade on all courses of the semester in which the student commits the violation and shall pay the fees for all courses. The plagiarism offence shall be noted in the student's grades record and be marked with the "XF" for all the courses taken in that semester.

4. A student committing a fourth plagiarism offense in any course will be expelled from the University and shall be awarded a failing grade on all courses of the semester which will be marked with a grade of “XF”. The student will have to pay the fees for all courses. However he can benefit from his/her academic record.

5. Upon a written request submitted by the student to the Office of Admissions & Registration, the XF mark may be removed if the student maintains his/her record clean up until his/her graduation.

The University may supplement its penalty with a decision to fully or partially ban the student from the privileges provided by the University for a maximum period of two semesters.

**Procedure for Dealing with Plagiarism Cases**

**Plagiarism Detecting Software**

Although the primary source of plagiarism detection is the course instructor’s review of the student’s work submitted for a particular assessment instrument, Turnitin software is available at AU Moodle for assistance in detecting any plagiarized work.

The Turnitin report, identifying uncited or unacknowledged copied material, will be submitted by the faculty under the formal plagiarism procedure. It should be noted that just having a matching score on Turnitin doesn’t imply plagiarism; this will go through a rigorous analysis by the faculty before being finally reported.

A word of caution to be informed to all students on usage of any online tools for referencing, there are ample of sites (paid or free) for generating references, citations and bibliographies. However, most or almost of them doesn’t fully abide by the international referencing conventions followed by the University. In case of usage, the student shall be fully liable for the consequences and to ensure that the referencing is in accordance with the University guidelines.

**Who to contact for advice**

In case student want to seek advice in understanding the process and how to deal with the situation that resulted into him/her being plagiarized, they shall contact the program team or course tutor, per se, for any initial advice.
Exams Guidelines

Rule 1: Only students who fulfill the following conditions will be allowed to sit for the university examinations:

- Students who arrive at the examination room in good time. If they are more than 30 minutes late they will not be admitted. Going out the examination room shall be allowed only 60 minutes after the timed start.
- If students are not suspended from classes.
- Students should introduce the University Card and the No Liabilities Certificate.

Rule 2: The examination regulations and procedures shall apply to the student during the period of exams, in examination rooms. The possession of mobile phones or other electronic means of communication is strictly prohibited and will be confiscated by invigilators, if any.

Rule 3:

- In case the student is absent from an examination with a valid excuse, they will be allowed to have the complete exam within no more than two weeks from the start of the following semester. The student is deemed informed of the date of the complete exam.
- Any excuse shall be introduced in no more than one week from the exam provided that this excuse is ratified by the authorized parties in the university.
- The acceptable excuse shall be one of the following:
  - A medical report that is approved by the University campus Doctor.
  - Death certificate of one of the first and second degree relatives.
  - Suspension or appearance before the court.
  - A perfectly valid excuse.
  - The student shall pay the fees of the incomplete.

Rule 4: The student who is absent from an examination without a valid excuse shall be deemed to have failed the examination.

Rule 5:

- An excuse shall be deemed valid if the examination timetable allows the student to take more than two exams at the same day.
- The student shall be given an additional time if he/she has to take more than one exam at the same time.

Rule 6:

Cheating: Any student who is caught in possession of written information relevant to the course, messages by mobile phone, or transmitting written or verbal information to his/her classmates shall be considered a cheater. This includes also the following:

- Using a book, magazine, research, computer or internet file.
- Using scraps, slips or copies.
- Using signs to convey information.
- Sending or receiving information through mobile phone.
- Writing on wall, ground, chair, clothes or body.
- Using every other means of information not allowed by the university regulations.

**Trying to cheat:** Any student who is caught in possession of written information, messages sent by mobile phones, or transmitting written or verbal information to his/her classmates shall be considered trying to cheat. This also includes what follows:

- Looking at the answer sheet of another student.
- Talking with classmates in the examination room.
- Possessing a mobile phone.
- Possessing papers or any other means that comprises information relevant to the subject of exam, but not shown up.

**Procedures Regulating Irregular Behaviors during Sit-in Exams**

All cases of cheating or trying to cheat, disturbing the peace and calm of the examination room, disrespecting one of the invigilators, impersonating an examinee or engaging someone else to take the exam by-proxy shall be reported to the College Examination Committee by all the invigilators.

- The invigilator shall notify the student who is caught cheating or trying to cheat to see the chair of the College Examination Committee by means of a form designed by the Central Examination Committee and by which he/she will be informed of the place and time of his/her appearance before the Central Examination Committee.

- The College Examination Committee shall refer the report to the Central Examination Committee in turn submits it to the University Disciplinary Committee.

- The University Disciplinary Committee shall conduct the investigation with the cheater (or trying to cheat) and report all available witnesses within no more than 48 hours, then a report shall be submitted. In case the student does not attend in the assigned time, he/she will be subject to an investigation that will be conducted in absentia.

- The University Disciplinary Committee shall be entitled to take the decision to keep the report in case the infraction is not supported by any evidence; the decision is deemed definite and the student may be allowed to re-sit the examinations.

- The Chair of the Central Examination Committee shall refer the report to the University Disciplinary Committee to take the appropriate decision with regard to the infraction the examinee is accused of.

- Once asked by the invigilator, the examinee who is caught cheating or trying to cheat shall quit the examination room.
Disciplinary Penalties for Cheating

1. Awarding a failing grade on the course in which the student commits the violation; student shall pay the full course fees. Such penalty shall apply when a student is caught cheating or attempting to cheat in exams, or caught in possession of notes relating to the exam subject matter, or in possession of a mobile phone or any other electronic device even if it is switched off. This penalty shall apply if the breach is the first to be committed by the student. The cheating case shall be noted in the student's record of grades and marked with "XF" for that course.

2. Awarding a failing grade on all courses of the semester in which the student commits the violation and obligating him to pay the full course fees. Such penalty shall apply when a student is caught cheating or attempting to cheat in exams; or caught in possession of notes relating to the exam subject matter, or in possession of a mobile phone or any other electronic device even if it is switched off. This penalty shall apply if the violation is the second to be committed by the student. The cheating case shall be noted in the student's grades record and be marked with the character "XF" for all courses in the semester.

3. Expulsion of the student from University and awarding him a failing grade on all courses of the semester in which the violation is committed. The student can benefit from his academic record but will have to pay the fees. Such penalty shall apply when a student is caught repeating for a third time an act of cheating or an attempt to cheat in exams; or caught in possession of notes relating to the exam subject matter, or in possession of a mobile phone or any other electronic device even if it is switched off.

4. Expulsion of the student from University and awarding him a failing grade on all courses of the semester in which the violation is committed. The student cannot benefit from his academic record and his fees will not be refunded.

5. Upon a written request submitted by the student to the Office of Admissions & Registration, the XF mark may be removed if the student maintains his record clean up until his graduation.
VI. Graduate Assistant

1. A Graduate Assistant (GA) is an individual who serves in a support role while completing his/her graduate studies at AU.
2. For each graduate program offered by AU, the University provides financial support to GAs in terms of partial or full tuition fee waiver and a monthly stipend in some cases. A GA must be enrolled as a full-time student at the University for the duration of their assignments in a graduate program.
3. The GA shall work in the University as a full-time graduate student without any other part-time or full-time job, within or outside University.
4. It is a responsibility of the Head of Department and/or supervisor to make sure that the GA’s are fully aware of all related policies and procedures and abide them.
5. The AU may offer graduate students the following two types of assistantships:
   a. Sponsored Graduate Research Assistant (SGRA)
   b. Sponsored Graduate Teaching Assistant (SGTA)

Sponsored Graduate Research Assistant (SGRA)

1. The sponsored graduate research assistantship is awarded on a competitive basis.
2. The maximum duration of sponsored graduate research assistantship is 2 years.
3. The research graduate assistantship will be provided during Fall and Spring semester only. A prior permission is required from the Dean of Graduate Studies and Research, if the SG-RA work as part time RA during the summer semester.
4. The following table summarises the SGRA’s categories and related requirements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thesis is Required as part of Degree Completion Requirement</th>
<th>SG-RA Category</th>
<th>Credit Hours Supported by AU</th>
<th>SG-RA Working Hours / week as a part-time RA</th>
<th>Monthly Stipend (AED)</th>
<th>Minimum CGPA Requirements</th>
<th>Registered Credit Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Full SGRA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2/3 SGRA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1/2 SGRA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>1/3 SGRA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. For full SGRA, the research supervisor must certify the performance of SGRA as a part time research assistant as Good or higher. For other categories of SGRA, the research supervisor must certify the performance of SGRA as part time research assistant at least satisfactory.
6. Financial support for their research projects (GSG), as recommended by the College Research Committees, and approved by DGSR can also be provided.
Sponsored Graduate Teaching Assistant (SGTA)

1. The sponsored graduate teaching assistantship may be awarded on a competitive semester-by-semester basis, as per teaching requirement of the college. The SGTA budget shall be supported by the college teaching budget.
2. The following table summarises the categories of SGTA’s and related requirements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SG-TA Category</th>
<th>Credit Hours Supported by AU</th>
<th>SG-TA Working Hours / Week as a Teaching Assistant</th>
<th>Monthly Stipend (AED)</th>
<th>Minimum CGPA Requirements</th>
<th>Registered Credit Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full SGTA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/3 SGTA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 SGTA</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3 SGTA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Procedure for the selection of GA

The recommended procedure for the selection of Graduate Assistants is as follows:

1. The University shall advertise on its website, English and Arabic newspapers, and other publications, provision of financial support for talented graduate students in its graduate programs. The financial support shall cover their partial or full tuition fees and they may also get a monthly stipend for working as part-time Research or Teaching Assistants in their colleges. The College Deans or HODs can also contact their outstanding graduates with strong potential for graduate studies and research to apply for these positions. Similarly they can use their networking to invite outstanding graduates from UAE or abroad.
2. The College Dean shall form a Selection Committee to review the applications, interview the shortlisted candidates and recommend candidates to the Dean.
3. The College Dean shall inform the selected candidates to formally apply for admission. The College Dean shall also inform the Department of Admission and Registration about their selection as SGRA or SGTA.
4. The College Council shall discuss and decide the Supervisor(s) for each selected GA. The supervisor(s) will be informed about expected outcomes and they shall be responsible to ensure that the research task is well-defined and properly supervised to achieve these outcomes.

Progress Monitoring of SGRA

The monitoring process for SGRA is as follows:

1. SGRA should have a meeting with the appointed supervisor on a regular basis and keep a record of such meetings.
2. The SGRA, should submit a detailed progress report to the college member of Council of Graduate Studies, with evaluation from the supervisor, at the end of Fall and Spring semesters.
3. The college member of Council of Graduate Studies shall forward this report to the DGSR with his/her comments and endorsement from the College Dean.
VII. Intellectual Property Guidelines

Matters considered include the nature of Intellectual Property, its ownership, exploitation and the specific procedures adopted within Ajman University (AU).

AU is committed to providing an environment where scholarship and innovation can flourish and those participating can be justly rewarded for their efforts. At the same time, AU recognizes that certain Intellectual Property is developed as a result of the environment provided by AU and that special relationships exist between the AU and its faculty and students, the University wishes, where appropriate, to gain benefit from the activity, with the benefits flowing on to future generations of faculty and students.

AU reserves the right to modify or add to this policy at any time, provided that such change will only apply to works, inventions and other subject matter in which Intellectual Property Rights subsist that come into being after the effective date of the change.

1. Interpretation

- Course Materials means all materials produced in the course of or for use in teaching in any form (including digital, print, video and visual material) and all Intellectual Property in such materials and will include lectures, lecture notes and material, syllabi, study guides, assessment materials, images, multi-media presentations, web content and course software.
- Exceptional AU Resources means resources of the AU which, for the avoidance of doubt, includes facilities, funds, services, equipment, paid leave, faculty time and support faculty in excess of those normally used or available to faculty for producing Course Materials.
- Intellectual Property means all statutory and other proprietary rights (including rights to require information be kept confidential) in respect of inventions, copyright, trademarks, designs, patents, plant breeder’s rights, circuit layouts, procedures, trade secrets and all other rights as defined by the UAE laws.
- Specifically Commissioned means where particular consideration (which includes, but is not limited to, financial consideration or relief from teaching or other duties) is provided or offered by the AU by agreement.

2. Intellectual Property Ownership

Except as otherwise agreed in writing by an authorized AU officer, or stated in this Policy, AU asserts legal and beneficial ownership of Intellectual Property:

- Created by academic or general faculty in the course of their employment by the University.
- Created by students of the University
- Created by Visitors, Adjunct or Conjoint appointees
In the absence of any written agreement to the contrary, Visitors, Adjunct and Conjoint appointees who create Intellectual Property falling under this clause will be treated as academic faculty for the purposes of this Policy. AU does not assert its right to ownership of any Intellectual Property in scholarly books, articles, Course Materials, or other scholarly works or subject matter generated (whether in written or any other form) by faculty or students, other than; where Specifically Commissioned by AU and where produced with the assistance of Exceptional AU Resources.

AU asserts legal and beneficial ownership of all Course Materials, which are Specifically Commissioned. The University may, at its discretion, give the creator(s) of Specifically Commissioned Course Materials a non-exclusive license to use the Course Materials for teaching purposes only if such a license will not extend to use of the Course Materials for a course in direct competition with a course of the University. In the absence of a written agreement, the University will own the Course Materials, but the faculty member(s) who provided content for the Course Materials will be entitled to compensation in case of commercialization. AU reserves its right to transfer the ownership of its Intellectual Property to third parties, where practicable, following consultations with the creator(s) of the Intellectual Property. Except where expressly permitted, no faculty member or student may apply for his/her own name, assign, license or otherwise deal with Intellectual Property, without AU written consent.

3. Students

Where AU students may be involved in research at institutions, which are affiliated, with the AU or at institutions other than AU, agreement should be reached with that institution regarding the rights of the student to Intellectual Property with a view to ensuring that the student’s rights are maintained as far as practicable.

Where reasonably practicable, supervisors electing to supervise a student in an area likely to lead to claimable Intellectual Property should ensure a confidentiality and Intellectual Property assignment agreement is completed with the student before the work is commenced.

4. Exploitation of Intellectual Property Owned by AU

In order for AU to comply with laws of Intellectual Property, faculty members and students should be conscious of the need to avoid premature disclosure of research results to third parties. Prior to doing so, intellectual property protection should be acquired specifying the rights that will accrue to the faculty member or student and to AU.

The faculty member or student, whose creative work is commercialized will be compensated on a pre-agreed amount and will waive his rights to the creative work to AU. Student creators of Intellectual Property will be treated equivalently to faculty members in determining their share of benefits flowing from the commercialization of Intellectual Property.

The University will consult with the creator of the Intellectual Property before determining the appropriate commercialization pathway to be taken. This may include the filing of patent applications, the identification of possible licensees or the formation of a limited liability company to exploit the Intellectual Property.
5. Rights & Disputes

AU recognizes the rights of the originators of Intellectual Property in accordance with the UAE copyright laws in force. These include the right of fair attribution of authorship or invention, the need for work not to be altered or used in such a way that it harms the reputation of the originator, and an opportunity for the originator to be involved in determining the final outcome of his/her labors. AU will use its best endeavors to assist authors in asserting their moral rights in cases where clear breaches of accepted academic conventions occur.

Where a faculty member or a student is unable to reach agreement with a Third Party with respect to the exploitation of Intellectual Property, they may refer their grievance to AU legal Advisor to attempt to resolve the dispute by mediation. In the event of a continuing grievance, the matter will be determined by an independent expert. All matters associated with the resolution of Intellectual Property disputes will be held confidential.

6. Copyright Material

AU faculty members and students are required to follow the law protecting copyright in the United Arab Emirates set under the Copyright and Authorship Protection Law No. 7 for the year 2002. Protection is granted to authors of literary, artistic and scientific; whose means of expression is writing, sound, drawing, image, motion pictures, creative titles or computer software. Translation of original works is also protected and the duration of the protection is for the lifetime.

The law reserves the right to allow reproducing protectable works by means of photocopying without obtaining the author’s permission by public libraries, non-commercial documentation centers and education, cultural and scientific institutions, provided that the number of 50 copies reproduced is limited to their needs and not detrimental to the interests of the author.
VIII. Faculty Appointments

1. Faculty Hiring Procedure

1.1. The Dean is expected to submit to the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs the faculty hiring plan one academic year ahead. For instance, hiring plan for Fall 2018 should be submitted in Fall 2017.

1.2. The faculty-hiring plan should indicate the following:
   a. Detailed specialization request.
   b. Verification of the requested specialization.
   c. Confirmation of slot availability.
   d. A clear strategic plan for the requested, highlighting how the candidate fits into the long-term plan of the department/college/university.

1.3. The approval of the new faculty slots would be based on budget availability, real need for the requested position, and how the position fits within the strategic plan of AU.

1.4. The Representative from the Office of the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs would prepare an advertisement for the available opening.

1.5. The Representative from the Office of Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs handles the advertisement of the new slot on the University website and renowned international outlets for the specific specialization, which shall be determined by the dean, in consultation with the head of the department.

1.6. The advertisement should be kept for at least one month before starting the screening process.

1.7. Applicants should submit the following documents online:
   a. Letter of intent.
   b. Detailed CV including full publication list.
   c. Information form.
   d. At least three reference letters.
   e. Any other relevant supporting documents.

1.8. The Representative from the Office of the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs shall send all applications to the dean.

1.9. A “Search Committee”, headed by the dean or the head of the department, and including at least two other faculty members (one of them from another department and preferably another college),
and a representative from the Office of the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs will screen the applicants.

1.10. Shortlisted candidates would be interviewed by the “Search Committee.”

1.11. Candidates living abroad can be interviewed remotely.

1.12. The main criteria for evaluating candidates are:

   a. Research record with a special focus on:
      - Research area and to what extent it fits the announced position.
      - Publications and creative work with a special attention for publications in high impact factor journals.
      - Amount of external funds secured by the candidate.
      - Research presentations, especially presentations delivered by the candidates at prestigious meetings.
      - International collaboration.

   b. Teaching record taking into consideration:
      - Teaching philosophy.
      - Course diversity.
      - Graduate student supervision.
      - Student evaluation.
      - Development of new courses and labs.
      - Introduction of novel teaching pedagogies.
      - Service to the university including administrative duties, participating in committees, etc.
      - Professional service, including organizing conferences, serving on committees of international conferences, conducting review for international journals, etc.

1.13. If short listed candidates do not fulfill the above criteria, the advertisement would be re-announced until suitable candidates are available.

1.14. The “Search Committee” shall submit to the Dean the following documents:

   a. CV of the short listed candidate.
   b. Information form.
   c. Reference letters.
   d. Committee report including the following items:
      - Verification for the requested position.
      - How the candidate fits into the specific slot and AU strategic plan.
      - Summary of the candidate’s credentials, with specific comments on items mentioned in item 1.12 above.
The strong and weak points of the candidate.

Committee recommendations.

The Dean makes a recommendation to the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs including negotiated salary with the candidate. It is the policy of Ajman University to ensure that all legal issues are covered for the employment of faculty members and staff.

The Representative from the Office of the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs issues a contract for the selected faculty. The contract shall be signed by the Chancellor.

Faculty salaries are confidential and the Dean should consult with the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs on the appropriate salary.

2. Terms of Contract

The employment contract is usually for a period of two years and is renewable as per University regulations. The faculty member is bound to abide by the published policies, rules and procedures stipulated by the University. He/she shall honestly, diligently, and to the best of his/her, abilities carry out assigned duties under the contract. These duties include attending scheduled teaching sessions and meetings (classroom and/or laboratory), academic advising, conducting examinations, carrying out research, offering expertise, and contributing in students training. He/she shall not accept any other professional appointment outside AU without prior written approval from the University. He/she shall abide by the confidentiality regulations of the University and strive for professional development on regular basis.

3. Faculty workload

The assignment of faculty workloads is intended to be used, as a comprehensive guideline for academic departments when assigning workloads to individual faculty members and it is defined as the total of the instructional, scholarly, and professional service activities rendered to AU by its faculty. It encompasses instruction, advising, committee work, thesis supervision, guidance of student organizations, research, service, and curriculum development.

The assignment of workloads is therefore organized on the following principles:

- For non-terminal degree holders teaching in undergraduate certificate, diploma, associate degree and baccalaureate programs, the maximum teaching load is 15 credit hours per semester.
- The maximum teaching load for full-time faculty members is 12 credit hours per semester for undergraduate programs, and 9 credit hours per semester for graduate programs.
- The teaching load of part-time faculty members is limited to a maximum of 6 credit hours per semester.
- The release time from teaching for the Deans of Colleges is 6 credit hours, while it is 3 credit hours for the Heads of Academic Departments and Program Coordinator.
In compliance with Stipulation 8 in the CAA standards, the University has initiated the process of modifying its course load assignment to faculty members. Starting with the College of Information Technology in spring 2017-18, all credit hours of any course that includes an embedded practical component (e.g. Lab) will be entirely and exclusively assigned to faculty members holding terminal degrees in the discipline. The said faculty members will be totally responsible for their assigned courses. They will also be responsible for overseeing the Teaching Assistants who will be helping them in some of the lab and/or tutorial parts of the course. Teaching Assistants will not have any part of the course credit hours assigned to them.

The University requires a minimum number of registered students in a section to be opened. However, if under exceptional circumstances, a section is to be opened with a number of registered students below the required minimum number, the section will be counted for the instructor as a regular section within his/her regular load, regardless of the number of students registered in that section.

4. Faculty Job Description

The following statements are intended to describe the general nature and level of work being performed. They are not intended to be construed as an exhaustive list of all responsibilities, duties, and skills required of personnel so qualified.

Essential Duties and Responsibilities

- Develop and deliver courses to students in specified discipline areas of study, considering and aiming to achieve the three (3) fundamental standards of the University: Teaching, Research, and Service.
- Evaluate and monitor individual student’s progress and provide feedback and guidance.
- Research, organize and manage instructional resources.
- Provide consultative expertise as a subject matter expert to the local industry through pre-approved partnership projects by the University.
- Ensure a respectful and culturally sensitive learning environment in all activities.
- Establish and maintain effective work relationships.
- Motivate and inspire confidence in others (students and colleagues) to overcome limitations to personal growth and success.
- Ensure required training equipment and supplies are set up in advance and materials are available.
- Actively seek out methods, procedures and resources to best achieve course and program objectives.
- Support and participate in accreditation process.
- Maintain relationships with students that are conducive to learning.
- Perform miscellaneous job related duties as assigned by the Head of Department and university management.
Supervision

- Report to: Head of Department (if applicable) or to the Dean of College (if there is no Head of Department).
- Subordinates: Supervise the work of students and Teaching Assistants.

Qualifications and Experience

PhD/ Master’s Degree directly related to the field of instruction specified.

Knowledge and Skills

- Ability to communicate effectively, both orally and in writing.
- Knowledge of admission standards and regulations.
- Knowledge of the “Credit Hour” academic system
- Ability to foster a cooperative work environment.
- Ability to develop and deliver presentations.
- Ability to perform complex tasks and to prioritize multiple projects.
- Ability to work effectively with diverse multi-cultural community.
- Ability to create, compose and edit written materials.

5. Academic Ranks and Qualifications

Ajman University (AU) employs (4) primary faculty ranks for its full-time and part-time/adjunct faculty members. The ratio of the adjunct to full-time faculty and the teaching load regulations for full-time and part-time faculty are in accordance with those specified by the Ministry of Education. The teaching load of Assistant, Associate, and full Professor shall not exceed 12 credit hours per week. The research expectations for faculty members are explained in chapter VIII of the Faculty Handbook and extensive detail are provided in the Policies and Procedures Manual under “Research and Scholarly Activities”. Faculty members can be promoted to a higher rank as explained in detail in section 3 of the Faculty Handbook entitled “Faculty Promotion” under Chapter IX Faculty Development and Promotion.

Professor

It is the highest academic rank that is obtained after successfully being promoted from the Associate Professor rank in a reputable institution. An appointee to this rank must have earned the Ph.D. degree from an internationally recognized institution and must have served as a full-time Associate Professor for at least four years with significant contribution in research and service to the academic institution and community.
**Associate Professor**

This rank is obtained after successfully being promoted from the Assistant Professor rank in a reputable institution. An appointee to this rank must have earned the Ph.D. degree from an internationally recognized institution and must have served as a full-time Assistant Professor for at least four years with noteworthy contributions in research and service to the academic institution and community.

**Assistant Professor**

An appointee to this rank must have earned the Ph.D. degree from an internationally recognized institution and must have demonstrated potential as an effective teacher and a promising researcher with a strong commitment to serve the institution and community.

**Lecturer**

An appointee to this rank must have earned a Master's Degree from an internationally recognized institution. He/she must have demonstrated strong potential as an effective teacher and have had prior teaching experience. The lecturer will, in general, teach readiness-level courses, regular course tutorials, and perform other teaching.

6. **Part-Time/Adjunct Faculty Members**

Part-time/Adjunct faculty members with ranks of Professor, Associate Professor, and Assistant Professor teach courses that are beyond remedial or readiness levels. Their employment is subject to the same requirements of professional competence and experience as their full-time counterparts. Their number shall not exceed 25% of the total faculty members for any program offered by the University. In addition, the teaching load of Part-time/Adjunct faculty members shall not exceed 6 credit hours per week and their net salary shall be determined based on their teaching load.

7. **Visiting Faculty**

It is a guest appointment normally offered to a faculty member from another institution for a limited time period (shorter contract duration), for example one semester or one academic year, and appointed at the rank held at that institution. Responsibilities are normally similar to those of full-time resident faculty in the department to which the appointment is made.

8. **Faculty Orientation**

New faculty members will receive a proper orientation about the University philosophy, policies and work ethics with regard to their specific job. They will be briefed about the program, its curriculum and the role of faculty members in its development, their rights and responsibilities. They will also be provided with all relevant
information about the registration as well as the advising systems. The Dean, head of the concerned department, and senior faculty members will take part in the orientation process.

9. Probationary Periods

Newly appointed faculty members have a probation period of one semester. Near the end of the semester (14th week), the HR Department shall provide a Self-Assessment form to the faculty member and End-of-Probation form to the College Dean. The Dean will get feedback from the Head of Department about the performance of the newly appointed faculty member. Based on the two forms and after consultation with the Head of Department, the College Dean will make a decision whether to confirm the employment or terminate it. The termination option shall be exercised only if the faculty member has a serious problem in delivering the assigned duties and his/her performance is below the minimum acceptable performance for the concerned Department/College. The HR Department will accordingly inform the faculty member about the decision.

10. Leaves of Absence

Annual Leave

The faculty member shall (in addition to any statutory public holidays announced for the United Arab Emirates) be entitled to a paid leave in accordance with the provisions relating to vacation leaves as set out in the University’s academic calendar, provided that such leave shall not exceed Sixty (60) calendar days in each academic year.

In the event the faculty member does not complete, for whatever reason, any full year of service, the regulations and articles of his/her contract’s construing laws shall be applied as per Federal Law No. 8 of 1980 on Regulating Labor Relations to determine the number of annual leave days the faculty member is eligible for.

Sick Leave

Sick leave is intended to meet the legitimate health needs of faculty members who were absent from the work place due to illness, personal injury or any other force majeure.

- Faculty are eligible to receive sick leave income at their regular rate of pay for up to forty five (45) calendar days per year.
- In the event, at the end of the initial 45 days sick leave, the faculty cannot return to work and is still incapacitated; the faculty is eligible for an additional forty five (45) calendar days sick leave income paid at half the regular pay rate.
- If a faculty member is absent from work beyond the aforementioned ninety (90) calendar sick leave days, the college dean may provide excused time off without pay for a period of time not to exceed ninety (90) calendar days.
- At the end of the additional 90-calendar day period, the University may require evidence and evaluation of the faculty's health condition such as a statement from the faculty member's physician; or the University may request an examination by a physician, designated by the University.
During the sick leave period, the faculty member’s Dean must make alternative arrangements to cover the duties of the faculty member without additional funding.

Sick leave must be substantiated by the submission of the required medical documentation in accordance with the University’s relevant policies and regulations.

Sick Leave cannot be carried forward and would get lapsed at the end of the leave calendar year when not availed.

Sick Leave would be calculated on a leave calendar year on a pro-rata basis from the employee’s commencement date but can be availed only after the employee is confirmed on the rolls of the university.

While on vacation, if a faculty member meets the sick leave eligibility requirements, employee has not lost time from regular work and is therefore not eligible for sick leave. However, in the event of hospitalization the faculty member, under such circumstances, may request that he or she not be charged with vacation but that it be considered as sick leave. Such a request is subject to the approval of the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs.

**Maternity Leave**

Female Employees who have completed at least one (1) year of service at AU can avail forty five (45) calendar days of Maternity Leave (including pre and post-delivery). If the employee has not completed one (1) year of service, she is eligible for a Maternity Leave benefit of forty five (45) calendar days, which shall be at half the regular pay rate.

Sufficient documentary evidence like doctor certificate (authenticated by AU Medical Center) have to be submitted along with the Leave Application Form.

Unpaid Maternity Leave entitlement shall be for one hundred (100) calendar days.

Employees can extend their Maternity Leave, with prior approval; however, this extended leave shall be treated as Annual Leave or will be treated as unpaid leave if Annual leave balance is nil.

**Bereavement Leave**

*Faculty members are entitled to bereavement leave as follows:*

- Not to exceed five working days for each occurrence in the case of death of immediate family residing abroad.
- Not to exceed three working days for each occurrence in the case of death of immediate family residing within the UAE territory.

For the purpose of this rule, immediate family is defined as and limited to the faculty member’s spouse, children, parents, brothers, sisters.

**Hajj (Pilgrimage) Leave**

- Employees can avail a ‘Hajj Leave’ for performing pilgrimage once during their tenure at AU.
- The Hajj Leave entitlement shall be fourteen (14) calendar days.
Death provision During Faculty tenure

In accordance to UAE inheritance law, upon the death of a faculty member, the court provides official papers (which shall be submitted to HR) naming the deceased beneficiary, whom shall receive the faculty member final wages and benefits due.

This will amount to a total salary on the month of demise, plus three (3) total salaries for the three consequence months, in addition to accrued vacation pay, and any other fund due to the faculty member.

Based on the beneficiary choice, the salaries can either be paid to the beneficiary or transferred to His/her bank account. However, the End of Service Benefits must be transferred to the deceased faculty member bank account.

All benefits of the deceased faculty member (except education allowance) shall stop on the day of passing and the End of Service Benefits is calculated until the date of passing. The installment of Education Allowance paid to the employee (equivalent to the 50%) shall not be repaid back to the university.

Ajman University will bears all expenses related to the preparation and transportation of the deceased and the deceased family members to their home country.

11. Regulations regarding consultancy or outside employment

The primary duty and responsibility of faculty members employed by AU is to the University itself. They are required to render their most effective services for all assigned tasks. Moreover, contributing to the community by offering professional activities are looked upon favorably and encouraged, especially where these activities make a positive contribution to the University. However, no outside service, as an expert or in any other capacity, should be undertaken that might interfere with proper discharge of duties towards the University or be in conflict with the interests of the University.

AU regulation on outside professional activities for its faculty members are as follows:

- No faculty member shall undertake any form of outside employment or activity, whether paid or unpaid, whether regular or periodic, which affects proper discharge of duties as specified in the contract and expected of a full-time faculty of the University.

- Appropriate outside employment, which does not affect faculty member’s performance and may rather enhance the discharge of duties is encouraged as furthering the interest of both the individual and the University. Teaching, consulting, professional practice and other appropriate outside activities can contribute to the effectiveness of the faculty member as a teacher and as a productive scholar, and can meet the individual's and the institution's obligation of community service.

- Conflict of interest should be avoided. A faculty member shall have no interest of any kind, direct or indirect, or engage in any business transaction or professional activity, or incur any obligation of any nature, which is in substantial conflict with the proper discharge of the individual's duties as a faculty member.

- Outside employment is defined as any paid activity such as, but not necessarily limited to, teaching, consulting, advising, testing or performing analyses or examinations, the practice of one's profession, or similar work performed in addition to the official responsibilities of a full-time employee.
• During the period of full-time employment with AU faculty members may engage in outside employment so long as it does not reduce the full-time obligation to the University. Outside employment is considered an overload and must not exceed 20 percent of the full-time obligation.

• Before any faculty member can engage in outside employment, approval of his/her supervisor is required. For continuing commitment of a period longer than one-week employment, approval of the Vice President Chancellor for Academic Affairs is also required. For short term or ad hoc employment, the Dean’s approval is necessary and the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs must be notified. Recommendations for approval shall be based upon an affirmative showing that the proposed employment would be in accord with the mission and policies of AU.

• Outside employment and consulting under the appropriate rules and procedures usually require an absence from the campus. Even though there is no cost to the University, absence from the campus or other regular place of work for periods of half a day or more during the normal working period must be preceded by an approval in writing.

• The faculty member engaged in outside professional activity shall pay 30% of the total compensation received for such activity to the University finance department as contribution towards sponsoring social activities of the department or college.

12. Research

Research Strategy

Ajman University considers research a significant part of University’s purpose and accordingly places strong emphasis on impactful research and scholarship. One of its key strategic goals is to enhance the quality, relevance, and impact of research and intellectual contribution by its faculty and students. AU’s commitment to research, scholarship, innovation and creative activity is reflected through provision of appropriate physical, fiscal, and human resources. Its research strategy, as described below, supports and promotes research and scholarly activities directed towards the creation, integration, and application of knowledge:

1. Nurture a culture of research across all disciplines and at both graduate and undergraduate levels.
2. Identify research areas of significance for academic colleges, University, and community at large. These shall include some relevant research areas identified in UAE Vision 2021, Ajman Vision 2021, and the Abu Dhabi Economic Vision 2030.
3. Increase AU’s national, regional, and international ranking in research and scholarship by hiring, retaining, and rewarding outstanding research-active faculty.
4. Improve the research infrastructure and funding mechanisms at AU to promote research across all disciplines.
5. Enhance cooperative research through research collaboration, strategic partnerships, and engagement with industry, business, and government organizations.
6. Provide sufficient budget for internally-funding research grants for faculty, their professional development and performance enhancement. Also, improve the number and quality of externally-funded research grants.
7. Recruit outstanding graduate students through scholarships and awards from internal as well as external sources.
8. Enhance the quality of existing graduate programs and introduce new graduate programs reflecting global trends and catering to local and regional market needs.
9. Encourage and support organization of research conferences and research competitions.
10. Provide concrete incentives (financial and non-financial) for faculty members to conduct high-quality and high-impact research.

Research Expectation

The University expects high quality research and scholarly contributions from each faculty member (Assistant Professor and above). The research and scholarly outputs may include, but not limited to, the following: (1) creative work and publications in refereed journals, book publication, book chapters and publications in conference proceedings, (2) Research project development, and internal and external research grants.

Promoting Quality Research Publications

Ajman University encourages and requires its faculty members to conduct high quality and impactful research in their areas of specialization and publish their research findings in reputable journals. The University does not encourage its faculty members to publish in paid journals and no publication charges will be paid by the University. However, in some exceptional cases, the faculty may request publication charges by providing rational of publication in a paid journal. Such requests must be supported by CRC and consider on a case-by-case basis by the Dean of GSR.

The University has taken a number of steps to support its faculty towards achieving this goal. As an additional incentive, the University has adopted a policy to provide financial reward for quality research and its dissemination in reputed journals. The financial incentive has been specified for research papers published in Scopus-indexed journals only. This policy will become effective starting from academic year 2018-19.

The Dean of GSR shall invite all faculty members in the Colleges to apply for the financial reward at the beginning of each academic year for papers published in Scopus-indexed journals during the previous academic years, exact dates will be determined according to the University academic calendar.

The maximum financial reward for research publications received by an individual faculty member shall not exceed 15,000 AED in one academic year, except if all submitted papers are in SCOPUS-Q1 and SCOPUS-Q2 category.

Papers Published in Scopus-Indexed Journals

For papers published in Scopus-indexed journals, the payment per AU author shall be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Number of Authors</th>
<th>Payment per AU Author (AED)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If there is only one AU author and all other authors are external, and the AU author is the first author, then the AU author will be rewarded as 6,000 AED.

**Book Chapters and Books**

For each book chapter contributed in a publication by a reputed publisher, as determined by the College Research Committee (CRC) and approved by the College Dean and the DGSR, the AU author(s) shall receive a net amount of AED 1,000. The Dean may request HoD to verify that the contents of the book chapter are related to the specialization area of the author(s).

For each book published by a reputed publisher, as determined by the College Research Committee (CRC) and approved by the College Dean and the University, the AU author(s) shall receive a net amount of AED 3,000. The HOD shall verify that the book is written in the specialized field of the author(s).

**Research Conferences**

AU encourages all faculty members, regardless of their rank, to participate in conferences and present their papers. To be eligible for participation, the applicant shall have completed at least one year at the University before applying for approval to be funded for presenting a paper in a conference. However, in exceptional cases, the Dean may recommend an applicant who has not yet served AU for one complete year. Applications for participation are submitted by the college to the DGSR, which in turn shall utilize the budget allocated for each College to cover the research conference participation expenses for faculty members (refer to Research Conferences Policy in The Policies and Procedures Manual for details on participation eligibility, rules, and regulations).
IX. Faculty Development and Promotion

1. Professional Development

The University recognizes that its faculty members are its most valuable resource and that their competence, commitment and capacity to change are fundamental to the successful achievement of its mission. It is for this reason that the annual report of each faculty member should include development activities during the preceding year and should mention areas of progress and their impact. On its part, the University is committed to foster and support faculty development and thus set up a policy that provides opportunities for individual faculty to:

- Improve teaching effectiveness.
- Maintain current academic and technical knowledge and skills.
- Develop computer and technological proficiency skills.
- Pursue research and creative projects.
- Exchange information and ideas.
- Engage in curriculum updating.
- Develop innovative and effective instructional and administrative techniques.

Faculty members from all disciplines are encouraged to participate and attend academic conferences, professional meetings, and engage in research activities both inside and outside the UAE. Development activities and the rules for participation of faculty in academic conferences have been elaborated in the Professional Development Policy comprised in the Policies and Procedures Manual.

2. Faculty Performance Review

The creation of an innovative environment and the realization of quality education demands Ajman University (AU) selection, retention and continued development of dedicated and creative faculty members whose skills and abilities contribute directly to student success, program quality and support the college in meeting its mission. As per the University’s commitment to faculty development, and in order to achieve its expectations, processes for mentoring and evaluating individual faculty members are imperative to their development.

The formal annual evaluation will provide an assessment of performance that allows recognition of a faculty member's strengths and achievements, and identify potential areas for professional development.

Faculty performance is based on the University’s mission and on the responsibilities of faculty members that serve the three (3) criteria: Teaching, Research, and Service (service to the university, service to students, and service to the community). View Appendix 2 for details of Faculty Annual Evaluation.
A thorough evaluation of faculty members’ performance has several advantages, as it would:

a. Provide faculty members with timely information regarding the extent to which they are meeting the college and university expectations of performance.
b. Identify aspects of a faculty member’s performance that may need further development.
c. Provide a foundation for discussions of performance between the faculty member, the head of Department and the Dean.
d. Help in planning appropriate and relevant faculty development programs.
e. Determine faculty member with outstanding performance to be rewarded and recognized.
f. Determine faculty member eligibility for contract renewal.

A faculty review committee shall be formed at the College level to coordinate and supervise the evaluation of faculty members’ performance. The review committee shall be composed of the College Dean (chair) and Heads of Departments/Units (Members) and one external member from a different college (Observer). The Committee shall, in particular, decides on the weight of the three criteria for faculty performance, as well as review marks distribution within each of the criteria by the Head of Department (HOD).

Faculty members of all ranks have to submit a Self-Assessment Report (Appendix 1) to the HOD on their activities and published or in-progress research at least two months before the end of the academic year. The annual report will be appended to HOD Annual Performance Evaluation (Appendix 2) of faculty members which shall include:

a. Students' course evaluation feedback,
b. Students' evaluation of advising,
c. Any other material provided by the faculty member, the Head of Department or the Dean, which could help in evaluation.

The HOD shall submit the report to the College Dean, who shall, in turn, submit the performance evaluation results and the final recommendations of the Review Committee to the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs for approval.

3. Faculty Promotion

Ajman University (AU) encourages its faculty to be committed to production and dissemination of innovative and impactful knowledge. The ultimate purpose is to support the institution’s mission, achieve its goals, and enhance performance and productivity through adoption and utilization of best practices in teaching, research, and service to the University and the community at large, following the principles of objectivity, transparency and fairness.
AU puts significant emphasis on professional development of its faculty. It also rewards those who demonstrate exemplary performance and contribute with outstanding achievements. It recognizes the right of deserving faculty members to be promoted to higher rank in accordance with its promotion policy and encourages them to seek promotion as soon as they have met the conditions to apply for promotion to a higher rank. This policy applies to all full-time, resident faculty members holding Master, PhD or terminal degrees, and appointed to the rank of Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Assistant Professor and Associate Professor.

Candidates applying for promotion to a higher rank shall be evaluated on the basis of (a) quality of teaching effectiveness (b) depth of scholarship and quality and impact of published work (c) service to the University, the community and the profession/discipline. The promotion process adopted at AU aims at encouraging academic excellence in a fair and objective manner. For this purpose, specific measurable and well-defined promotion criteria and procedures have been developed. A brief description of the promotion criteria is as follows:

**Criteria for Promotion**

Faculty members applying for promotion will be evaluated on the basis of the following:

1. Teaching
2. Research
3. Service

While the University and community service is recognized and encouraged, high quality teaching and research are of primary importance and are indispensable qualifications for promotion to higher academic ranks.

**Teaching**

Since teaching is a primary function of all AU faculty, a candidate for promotion is required to demonstrate his/her ability to teach effectively in addition to fulfilment of all other assigned responsibilities. The following factors and criteria are to be considered in the evaluation of teaching performance:

a. Competence in teaching the subject matter and effective utilization of different teaching and learning methodologies.

b. Effectiveness in the development and use of innovative methods in teaching such as e-learning and experiential learning.

c. Initiation and participation in curriculum development (e.g. major revisions of existing courses, development of new courses, initiation of new programs or concentrations, etc.).

d. Effectiveness in the development and use of instructional laboratories, studios, and clinics, as applicable.

e. Effectiveness in supervising theses, senior projects, training, etc.

f. Ability to teach different courses in their areas of specialization.

**Research**

The University shall promote faculty members who are actively engaged in scientific research and creative scholarship of demonstrable quality and impact. Therefore, all faculty members holding PhD or terminal
degrees should demonstrate significant research achievements in their fields of specialization. While faculty members holding Master degrees and appointed as Lecturers are not required to produce scholarly output, those desiring to be promoted to the ranks of Senior and Principal Lecturers must provide some evidence of scholarly output as explained in this policy.

Promotion to the rank of Associate Professor requires research accomplishments whose originality, depth and impact establish the candidate as an important contributor to knowledge in the specialized field. Promotion to Professor rank requires a record of research accomplishments that establish the candidate as an outstanding contributor to knowledge in the specialized field.

The research achievements of all faculty members shall be evaluated on the quality of their contribution to knowledge in the context of the research mission of individual departments and colleges, as evidenced by the goals set out in their respective strategic plans.

Research output shall be demonstrated by published work in reputed and ranked journals and proceedings of prestigious conferences, written evaluations by leading figures in their specific research field, awards, prizes, inventions, patents, and other recognitions. For faculty specialized in the fields of Architecture, Art, and Design, the research output could also include creative works as specified in this policy.

In published scholarly work, the main considerations are impact and quality rather than volume. A judgment can be made by examining the quality of the journals in which the publications appeared, the use which other researchers make of an individual’s publications (citations), or by requesting testimony from other distinguished practitioners in the field. Contributions in the area of coordination of knowledge such as survey articles and books are also considered as evidence of effective scholarship. Substantial external research grants also constitute an important factor in the assessment process.

Other evidence in this area includes supervision of Master’s and Doctoral theses. Moreover, articles, textbooks, reports, and similar publications normally considered as contributions to the professional literature or the advancement of the professional practice or of professional education shall be regarded as evidence of effective scholarship, especially when they present new ideas or incorporate scholarly research. Evidence of scholarly stature may include services on editorial boards of scholarly journals, invitations to give keynote addresses in conferences or symposia, membership in technical committees of international/national conferences, acting as referee for scholarly journals and conferences. Software or prototypes may be evaluated for consideration as evidence of scholarship.

**Service**

Service includes a) department, college and university service, b) academic and professional activities outside AU, and c) contribution to students’ co-curricular activities. Recognition shall be given to faculty members who prove themselves as active administrators and developers of their respective departments and colleges. Services rendered by the faculty members to the local community and the United Arab Emirates, both in their special capacities as scholars and in areas beyond these special capacities when the work done is at a sufficiently high level and quality, shall likewise be recognized in the promotion process. These services may include organizing short courses, national/international conferences, seminars and workshops, authoring articles for the general public and translations from and into Arabic, etc. Contributions might also include identifying industry needs and elaborating coherent training programs in their areas of expertise, as well as establishing a link for technical cooperation between AU and other institutions in specific areas of expertise. Similarly, guidance and leadership in student activities and contribution in student counselling shall also be recognized and considered.
Section I: General Provisions & Definitions

Article 1:
The above preamble is an integral part of this Policy.

Article 2: Definitions
The following terms and expressions shall have the meanings hereunder assigned to them, unless the context indicates otherwise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UAE</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Ministry</td>
<td>The Ministry of Education- Higher Education Affairs, United Arab Emirates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University/AU</td>
<td>Ajman University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University Chancellor</td>
<td>AU Chancellor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPC</td>
<td>Faculty Promotion Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The College</td>
<td>The college to which the faculty member applying for promotion belongs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Department</td>
<td>The department to which the faculty member applying for promotion belongs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jury</td>
<td>A jury of university professors from a similar field of expertise selected from inside or outside the UAE to review and evaluate an applicant’s research papers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Scale</td>
<td>Assistant Professor - Associate Professor - Professor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Article 3: Policy Name and its Execution
This Policy shall be named the “Faculty Promotion Policy” and shall become effective as of the date of its approval by the Chancellor. It shall supersede any and all conflicting documents.

Only full-time (resident) faculty, including full-time clinical faculty, appointed as Assistant or Associate Professors at AU may apply for an academic promotion, as per the provisions set forth herein.

Article 4: Primary Evaluation Responsibility
The College Dean is primarily responsible to conduct the promotion evaluation in accordance with the promotion policies stated in this document. The Dean shall submit his/her recommendations to the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs (VCAA) who will forward it to the Faculty Promotion Committee (FPC).
After validating that the promotion process has been carried out in accordance with AU promotion policies, the FPC shall submit its observations and recommendation to the VCAA who is ultimately responsible of approving or rejecting the promotion applications.

In case the Dean himself/herself is the candidate for promotion, the primary evaluation responsibility shall rest with the FPC.

**Section II: Faculty Promotion Committee (FPC)**

*Article 5: FPC and its Objectives*

AU has established a Faculty Promotion Committee (FPC) with the following objectives:

1. Assuring that the process carried out for promotion of a faculty member is completely in accordance with the policies and procedures set forth in this document.
2. Assuring transparency, objectivity and impartiality in issuing recommendations regarding promotions.
3. Assuring that any promotion is granted on the sole ground of eligibility and competence, supported by the faculty member’s excellent track of teaching, research and university and community service.
4. Submitting its observations and promotion recommendation to the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs for final decision.

*Article 6: FPC Composition*

FPC shall be established by the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs. The chairperson of FPC shall be a professor and FPC members shall have the rank of associate professor or professor. All colleges shall be represented. Any vacant seat shall be filled with a member from the same college through a decision of Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs.

*Article 7: FPC Duties*

AU Faculty Promotion Committee (FPC) shall deal with the following:

1. After receiving the applicant’s complete file from the VCAA, the FPC shall review the evaluation process and submit its observations and recommendation to the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs (VCAA) after ensuring that the process carried out for promotion was completely in accordance with AU promotion policies and procedures.
2. Maintain and update the Faculty Promotion Policy as directed by the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs.
3. Perform any other duties assigned by the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs.

*Article 8: Meetings of FPC*

The Faculty Promotion Committee shall hold meetings in accordance with a specified timetable as well as upon the invitation of the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs, with its quorum being a simple majority.
Section III: Jury

Article 9
A qualified jury, which shall be selected as per the conditions set forth in this Policy, shall assess the quality and impact of research and scholarly publications of the applicant.

The VCAA is responsible for providing the Dean with a template invitation to be sent to potential jury members by the Dean.

Article 10: Selection of Jury

1. Each College shall develop and maintain a database of qualified reviewers with the minimum rank of Associate Professor. The reviewers shall have excellent academic reputation in their respective disciplines.

2. The Head of Department (HOD) should provide the Dean with at least 10 potential external reviewers to evaluate the research publications of the applicant. If the HOD himself/herself is the candidate for promotion, then the Dean shall ask a senior faculty in the department to provide the list.

3. The College Dean shall select three reviewers for reviewing the published research of the applicant. If the Dean himself/herself is the candidate for promotion, then the College Council, without the presence of the Dean, shall select the three reviewers from the list provided by the HOD.

4. All correspondence with reviewers, their reports and promotion deliberations as well as the names of the selected reviewers shall be kept confidential.

Article 11: Criteria for Jury Selection

External reviewers shall be selected on the basis of the following criteria:

1. All reviewers must be specialized in the same discipline as that of the applicant.

2. All the reviewers must be of Professor Rank if the promotion application is for promotion to the rank of Professor. However, one reviewer can be of Associate Professor Rank if the promotion application is for promotion to the rank of Associate Professor.

3. All reviewers must be from accredited institutions of good reputation and high ranking.

4. No reviewer must have collaborated with the applicant in any of the research papers submitted in the application. No previous relation in the awarding of the applicant's Masters or PhD degree should exist between the applicant and the reviewer and both the applicant and the reviewer must not have worked for the same employer at the same time.

Section IV: Faculty Promotions

Article 12: Promotion Criteria

A faculty member may apply for promotion to a higher rank if he/she meets the following criteria:

1. Has served AU for at least two years as full-time, resident faculty. However, for applicants with exceptional research performance, this period can be reduced to one year by the Dean. The period the applicant may have spent as visiting faculty shall be counted if his/her appointment was changed from a visitor status to a resident faculty without any break in service at AU. The period a returning faculty had spent at AU before leaving the University shall be considered if the duration between
his/her leaving the university and re-joining it is less than two years.

2. Has served at his/her current rank for at least five years, in either Ajman University or any other accredited university. However, for applicants with consistent outstanding performance, the Dean may reduce this period to four years.

3. For promotion to the rank of Senior Lecturer, the applicant has produced at least one indexed publication, or one creative art work, as listed in this policy, or has made significant contribution in industry/professional practice.

4. For promotion to the rank of Principal Lecturer, the applicant has produced at least two indexed publications, or two creative art works, as listed in this policy, or has made outstanding contribution in industry/professional practice.

5. For promotion to the rank of Associate Professor, the applicant has published minimum of four peer-reviewed indexed research papers; at least three of these papers are published in reputed and ranked journals, and at most one paper is published in the proceedings of a prestigious conference. Alternatively, the applicant for promotion to the rank of Associate Professor has published at least two refereed research papers (at least one in a reputed and ranked journal) and produced at least two creative works from the list of creative works provided in this policy.

6. For promotion to the rank of Professor, the applicant has published minimum of six peer-reviewed indexed research papers; at least five of these papers are published in reputed and ranked journals, and at most one paper is published in the proceedings of a prestigious conference. Alternatively, the applicant for promotion to the rank of Professor has published at least four refereed research papers (at least three in reputed and ranked journals) and produced at least two creative works from the list of creative works provided in this policy.

7. Other applicable conditions are as follows:
   a. The number of research papers published by the same refereed journal shall not exceed two except for very high-quality journals, such as SCOPUS-Q1 journals.
   b. The contents of the research papers or creative work should not be copied from the applicant's Master's and PhD theses. In addition, for promotion to the rank of Professor, the papers should not be based on the material used for promotion to the rank of Associate Professor. Similarly, for promotion to the rank of Principal Lecturer, the scholarly output should not be based on the material used for promotion to the rank of Senior Lecturer.
   c. In case of application for promotion to the rank of Associate Professor, at least one of the submitted research papers or creative work must be either individually authored by the applicant or with only one co-author/contributor. Alternatively, for at least one research paper, the applicant must be the first author of the paper. For other papers or creative work, the total number of authors/contributors must not exceed four. A paper or creative work will be considered to have 50% weight if the number of authors/contributors for that paper is five or more. Two papers or creative works with 50% weight shall be considered equivalent to one paper or creative work in terms of fulfilling the requirement of number of publications or creative works.
   d. In case of application for promotion to the rank of Professor, at least two of the submitted research papers or creative works must be either individually authored by the applicant or with only one co-author/contributor. Alternatively, for at least two research papers, the
applicant must be the first or second author of the paper. For other papers or creative works, the total number of authors/contributors must not exceed four. A paper or creative work will be considered to have 50% weight if the number of authors/contributors for that paper or creative work is five or more. Two papers or creative works with 50% weight shall be considered equivalent to one paper or creative work in terms of fulfilling the requirement of number of publications or creative works.

e. Only one unpublished research paper that has been accepted for publication in a reputed and ranked journal or prestigious conference proceeding may be submitted for consideration.

f. At most one refereed research paper published in the proceeding of a prestigious scientific conference shall be accepted.

g. Research papers published in electronic journals shall be accepted if the electronic journal is refereed and ranked and the publication is approved by the College Research Committee (CRC) and the Dean. Papers published in questionable “pay-to-publish” journals shall not be accepted.

h. A patent shall be considered as a research paper, provided the applicant demonstrates that the patent registration is under the applicant's name. In this case it shall not be sent to a jury and shall be rated as Excellent.

i. At least two of the submitted research papers or creative works must be authored/produced while the applicant is employed at Ajman University.

j. All the submitted research papers must deal with the applicant’s specialization/discipline. However, one high-quality educational/pedagogical peer-reviewed research paper may be considered.

k. The Dean may refer to the College Research Committee (CRC) to ascertain the quality of the journals and conference proceedings in which the applicant has published his/her research work. The CRC shall refer to AU publication guideline document for classifying the journals in various categories (such as AU A*, A, B or C).

8. The list of Creative Outputs approved by the University are as follows:

**List of Creative Outputs**

1. Creative work that won national or international architecture, art and design competitions (1st, 2nd or 3rd prize).
2. Design of innovative furniture or other industrial products.
3. Certificate of patent/invention related to architecture, art and design.
4. Creative and innovative design work, such as villas, hotels, malls, shops, coffee shops and restaurants.
5. Demonstrated original art or design exhibitions at national or international level in authorized galleries, museums, governmental or private well-recognized organizations and national or international exhibitions.
6. Completion of architectural project including letter from consultancy or client. Project size and complexity must be part of referees’ input.
7. Renovation and restoration of old or historic buildings.
8. Specialized work such as sustainability, feasibility, shading, acoustics or lighting

Article 13: Promotion Procedure

The procedure for promotion to the ranks of Associate Professor and Professor is as follows:

a. The applicant sends a letter of intention to the Dean who forwards it to the VCAA.
b. The VCAA, after validating the eligibility of the applicant, sends a letter to the Dean to confirm or deny eligibility based on the requirements for promotion to the desired rank.
c. The Dean informs the applicant about the eligibility status with a copy to the VCAA.
d. Applicant submits his/her complete dossier to the HOD.
e. The HOD (or senior faculty member appointed by the Dean if HOD is the applicant) forms an ad-hoc committee at the department level which evaluates/assesses the submitted dossier.
f. The ad-hoc committee submits its report to the HOD (or to senior faculty member appointed by the Dean if HOD is the applicant) who reviews the report of ad-hoc committee and submits his/her recommendation to the Dean along with the complete dossier. If the Dean is the applicant, then HOD shall submit the report along with his/her recommendation and the dossier to the FPC.
g. HOD submits a list of 10 external reviewers to the Dean. If the HOD himself/herself is the candidate for promotion, then the Dean shall ask a senior faculty in the department to provide the list.
h. The Dean is responsible for sending invitations to external reviewers and should form a jury of 3 external reviewers selected from the list of 10 reviewers. The Dean shall be responsible for all communication with the reviewers throughout the review process until it is complete. If the Dean himself/herself is the candidate for promotion, then the College Council, without the presence of the Dean, shall select the three reviewers from the list provided by the HOD. Also, in this case the FPC shall send invitations to external reviewers and be responsible for the review process until it is complete.
i. The Dean receives the reports of the external reviewers. The Dean reviews these reports as well as the report of the department ad-hoc committee and the recommendation of the HOD, and writes his/her own report. After giving the grades for each of the three categories (teaching, research, service), the Dean submits the complete file (which includes the reports of the external reviewers and department ad-hoc committee as well as HOD’s and Dean’s recommendations) to the VCAA. The VCAA shall forward the complete file to the FPC.
j. The FPC shall review the complete file and send its observations and recommendation to VCAA.
k. The VCAA makes the final decision

The procedure for promotion to the ranks of Senior Lecturer and Principal Lecturer is as follows:

a. Upon completion of a minimum of five years of serving in the position of a Lecturer/Senior Lecturer, the candidate will submit the application for promotion to a higher rank along with complete portfolio to the HOD.
b. The HOD shall form an ad-hoc committee in the department to evaluate/assess the
submitted portfolio.

c. The ad-hoc committee shall submit its report to the HOD who, after reviewing the report, shall submit his/her recommendation to the Dean along with the portfolio.

d. A Committee formed by the Dean shall assess the candidate based on the above-mentioned criteria and submit its report to the Dean.

e. The Dean shall submit the promotion recommendation to the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs who shall confirm or deny the promotion to the rank of Senior/Principal Lecturer

**Article 14: Weightage of Teaching, Research, and Service**

The weights for teaching and research shall account for 70% of the total grade while service shall account for 30% of total grade. For teaching and research, the weight for each shall be in the range of 30-40%. The applicant can choose, in consultation with the Dean, the weight for each provided that the total for these two categories is 70%.

**Article 15: Grading Criteria**

The grading shall be carried out provided the applicant meets the conditions set forth in the Promotion Criteria mentioned in Article 12. Otherwise, the application for promotion shall be rejected. For eligible applicants (i.e. those satisfying the conditions set forth in Article 12), the grading criteria is as follows:

- **Teaching:**
  The teaching grade shall be given by the Dean on the basis of students’ evaluation, teaching effectiveness, and quality of course files/portfolio, as explained below. In this regard, the Dean shall also receive input from the HOD.
  
  **Students’ Evaluation:** The Dean shall determine the grade based on students’ evaluation related to teaching and learning. This shall account for 40% score in teaching category.
  
  **Teaching Effectiveness:** The applicant shall provide evidence of teaching effectiveness in terms of effective teaching methodologies employed in classroom, major revisions of existing courses, development of curriculum, updating of labs, clinics, studios (as applicable), development of students’ skills and competencies, fair and consistent grading policy, etc. The Dean shall consider all these factors in giving a grade for teaching effectiveness. This shall account for 40% grade in the teaching category.
  
  **Course Files/Portfolio:** The applicant shall submit the course files or portfolio for all courses taught during the past one year (fall and winter semesters) and clinics or studios, etc. supervised. For this, the Dean shall give a grade with maximum score of 20% in the teaching category.

- **Research:**
  For each reviewed research paper or creative output, the external reviewers shall give a score out of 100. Each paper with 50% weight (paper with five or more authors) will be given a score out of 50. Depending upon the required number of publications, as stated in Article 12, the papers or creative outputs with highest scores will be considered by the Dean to give a final percentage grade. For each paper or creative output with 50% weight, the scores of two such papers will be considered equivalent to one paper.

- **Service:**
  The Dean shall give a service score for each of the following three categories:
  
  - Department, College and University service (out of 60)
• Academic, professional, and community service activities outside AU (out of 20)
• Contribution to students’ co-curricular activities (out of 20)

**Article 16: Success Criterion**

After determining the percentage grades in teaching, research, and service and considering the relative weights for each of these three categories, the Dean shall determine the overall weighted score in percentage. To be successful, the applicant shall obtain at least 75% overall weighted score. In addition, for promotion to the Associate Professor rank, the applicant must obtain at least 70% score in the research category, while for promotion to the Professor rank, the applicant must obtain at least 80% score in the research category.

**Article 17: Decision by the VCAA**

1. The Dean, after determining the percentage score of the applicant in each category as well as the overall weighted score in percentage, shall make a recommendation based on the above-mentioned success criterion and submit the recommendation along with all evaluation documents (including reviewers’ reports) to the VCAA for further action.

2. The VCAA shall forward the applicant’s file (including the report of the Dean and the reviewers’ reports) to the FPC. The FPC will review the qualifications of the applicant as required for promotion, fulfilment of all evaluation process requirements, and accordingly prepare a report taking into consideration the Dean’s recommendation. Otherwise, the FPC will notify any short-comings in the review process to the Dean and request for re-consideration. Finally, the FPC shall submit its observations and recommendation to the VCAA.

3. The VCAA shall issue a decision on the promotion application following the recommendation given in the FPC report, provided that the applicant is still working in the same post at the time the decision is issued.

4. In case of favorable decision by the VCAA, the applicant shall be promoted to the corresponding academic rank in accordance with Article 18 below.

5. The decision of promotion shall be issued in both Arabic and English, in two originals, one for the promoted faculty member and the other for the Office of Human Resources. A soft copy thereof shall be sent to the Documentation Centre for archiving purposes.

**Article 18: Effects of Promotion**

All non-financial effects of the decision of promotion shall be applicable immediately after the approval of promotion by the VCAA. However, all financial effects shall be applicable as from the beginning of the following academic year.

**Article 19: Re-application for Promotion**

a. If the application is rejected, the applicant may re-apply for promotion in the following academic year.

b. If the application for promotion is rejected for two consecutive times, the Dean may accept to review the application for a third and final time, at least one year after the second application was rejected. The applicant shall bear all the costs related to processing of his/her third application.
Section V: Appeals

Article 20
The applicant can submit an appeal against the promotion decision to the University Chancellor, within one month from the date he/she is notified of the rejection decision. The University Chancellor may reject the appeal or form a committee to handle the appeal.

Section VI: Amending the Policy

Article 21
The articles of this Policy may be reviewed any time after one year from its entry into force, upon the directive of the Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs.

Appendix: Timetable for Promotion Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Procedural Step</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Applicant submits letter of intent to the Dean</td>
<td>10th September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dean forwards the letter of intent to the VCAA</td>
<td>17th September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dean informs the applicant about his/her eligibility status</td>
<td>October 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Applicant submits his/her dossier to the HOD</td>
<td>October 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The HOD forms an ad-hoc committee in the department to review the dossier</td>
<td>October 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The ad-hoc committee submits its report to the HOD</td>
<td>November 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The HOD submits the dossier to the Dean along with the report of ad-hoc committee and his/her recommendation</td>
<td>November 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>The Dean sends the research papers to three external reviewers (jury)</td>
<td>November 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>The Dean receives the reviewers’ reports.</td>
<td>March 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>The Dean reviews the complete file and gives score for each category. Submits the complete file to VCAA with his/her recommendation.</td>
<td>March 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>The VCAA forwards the file to FPC</td>
<td>March 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>The FPC after reviewing the complete file submits its recommendation to the VCAA</td>
<td>April 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>The VCAA makes the final decision</td>
<td>April 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
X. Faculty Compensation and Benefits

The faculty member is entitled to the remuneration package mentioned in his/her contract along with specified annual increments. The package includes Basic Salary, Cost of Living Allowance, Furniture Allowance, Housing Allowance, Education Allowance, Research Allowance and Annual Tickets for travel by air. The University also provides health insurance for all faculty members. In addition, the faculty member is entitled to an End of Service Gratuity (one-month basic salary for every year of service at the University). The faculty members are entitled to the following benefits in accordance with the University's policies and bylaws. The benefits maybe revised from time to time at the sole discretion of the University unless otherwise stipulated in the employment contract:

1. Allowances

Housing Allowance:

The faculty member shall be entitled to a monthly housing allowance, which shall be paid with the monthly salary. The housing allowance is based on the academic rank of the faculty member and is specified in the employment contract.

Furniture Allowance:

The faculty member shall be entitled to a one-off lump sum amount payable with the first salary upon joining Ajman University. This amount is specified in the employment contract and shall cover four (4) continuous years of service at the University.

Medical Allowance:

Ajman University allocates proper resources to provide the faculty member, spouse, and three (3) dependent children with medical insurance coverage according to the university’s group medical insurance policy. Dependents are defined sons up to 18 years old, and daughters up to 22 years old. The University will cover the full premium required to extend this benefit to the faculty, spouse and the eligible dependents.

Upon expiry of the contract, the faculty member has to return all the relevant insurance cards to the HR Department. In case the insurance cards are not returned in time, any fees or medical costs undergone by the faculty member, spouse or their dependents; from the period after the end of the contract to the date the insurance cards are handed over, shall be deducted from the final settlements of the faculty member.

Education Allowance:

The faculty member is entitled to an annual education allowance in accordance with the University’s education allowance policy. The education allowance, specified in the employment contract, is based on the academic rank of the faculty member (Ph.D. or M.Sc.). The education allowance covers resident children in the UAE who are
enrolled in schools from grades kindergarten 1 (or equivalent) through grade twelve (12) of primary-secondary education.

**Air-Ticket Allowance:**

a) Relocation air tickets are provided to the faculty and eligible dependents upon joining the University from their point of travel to the UAE if they are overseas hires. UAE hires are not entitled to relocation air tickets.

b) The faculty member spouse, and three (3) dependents shall be entitled to one annual round-trip economy class air ticket each to the faculty member’s home country on the completion of each year of service. Cash payment will be made in lieu of annual air tickets on the anniversary hiring date of the faculty member. The faculty member is not entitled to claim a pro rata amount of the annual air tickets if the period of service is less than one completed year.

c) Ajman University shall provide the faculty and eligible dependents with Repatriation Air Tickets to the faculty’s home country should the faculty member's contract ends for any reason. In the event the faculty member decide to join another organization in the UAE, Ajman University will not provide the faculty with any repatriation air tickets.

**End-of-Service Gratuity:**

The faculty member is entitled to an end-of-service gratuity calculated according to the UAE labor law unless stipulated otherwise in the employment contract. UAE Nationals and GCC nationals are not entitled to End of Service Gratuity as the University pays the monthly contribution towards their pension benefit according to the regulations of the UAE General Pension and Social Security Authority.

**2. Summer Employment**

Faculty members are not required to teach in summer semester. Teaching in summer is completely optional and faculty members who opt to teach in summer are adequately compensated in accordance with their credit hour load. This compensation is in addition to their salaries paid for summer months. Determination of the need for a faculty member’s service for summer semester is made by the concerned Head of the Department. If a faculty member is unavailable for teaching in summer semester, the Head of the Department may employ part-time faculty with same qualifications as the full-time faculty member.
XI. Faculty Grievance and Appeal

1. Introduction

Ajman University aims at providing a workplace with an ambience of mutual respect so that faculty members, administrative staff and students can all work amicably and discharge their duties effectively without any harassment or problems. However, as is natural, disputes may arise from time to time between a faculty member and an administrator or other individual. In such a case, if the dispute is not resolved informally between the concerned parties, the faculty member may report it to the Dean for his/her intervention. If the Dean’s intervention also fails to resolve the dispute to the satisfaction of the concerned faculty member, he/she may proceed to file a formal complaint to the Chairperson of the Faculty Grievance Committee (FGC) at the university level. In this case, the complaint shall be referred to as “Grievance” and the concerned faculty member filing the complaint shall be referred to as “Grievant”. It is important to note that a grievance may also be filed if a non-action by an administrator has adversely affected the faculty member’s terms of contract, academic freedom, or other provisions as stated in the Faculty Handbook or other published documents.

2. Faculty Grievance Committee

The Faculty Grievance Committee (FGC) shall determine if the grievant has been substantially harmed as a result of the filed grievance, and if so, what relief should be given to the grievant. The Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs appoints members of the FGC and its Chairperson. The findings and recommendations of the FGC will be forwarded to the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs for necessary action, if any. In making its recommendations, the FGC shall make a determination of whether a violation of regulation, policy, or procedure has occurred. If it is determined that there was a violation, the FGC shall consider factors such as whether the violation was intentional or unintentional and if it was due to some negligence or misunderstanding of rules and regulations.

The duties of the Chairperson of FGC shall include the following:

- Provide relevant information to the grievant for filing a grievance.
- Receive the written grievance.
- Arrange for FGC meetings and schedule the hearings.
- Preside over the meetings and ensure the hearings are conducted in accordance with the specified procedure.
- Ensure that all records are maintained.
- Submit the findings of FGC and its recommendations to the President of the University.
- Inform the concerned parties about the final decision taken by the President.
The members of the FGC are responsible for:

- Reviewing the grievance and ensuring that it is complete with all required information and documents.
- Deciding if the grievance does in fact need processing by FGC.
- Hearing the evidence presented by the grievant as well as arguments presented by the defendant(s) and questioning both sides with the aim of finding exactly what happened. This shall also cover the witnesses from both sides and their cross-examination.
- Reaching a decision on the basis of evidence presented, discussions, and cross-examinations and making recommendations.
- Making sure that confidentiality is strictly maintained.

In case the chairperson or any other member of the FGC is him/herself the grievant or defendant, he/she must quit the Committee. The Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs will appoint a replacement for that member. The same will apply to a member who is on leave or absent during the hearing process.

3. Faculty Grievance Procedure

The grievance must be filed within one month after the grievant becomes aware of a substantial violation of his/her rights as stipulated in published documents, including the Faculty Handbook. The Grievance Form is provided in Appendix 4. In filing the grievance, the grievant must provide the following information:

- A detailed account of the incident, situation or circumstances that caused the grievance.
- The grievant must specify the party against whom the grievance is filed, and specifically explain how that party has violated his/her rights or affected his/her ability to work in a professional or academic capacity, and accordingly what harm or damage occurred.
- Explain what informal actions were taken to resolve the dispute. It is required that the grievant mentions the date when he/she informed the Dean about it, and why he/she is not satisfied with the solution, if any, achieved by the Dean. If the Dean was not involved before filing the grievance, the grievant must provide reasons for not doing so.
- Specify what relief is being sought through the grievance procedure.
- Provide the name, phone number and e-mail address of each person that he/she would like to call as a witness during the hearing process.

Upon receipt of the grievance, the Chairperson of the FGC will conduct an informal inquiry and meet with the grievant to resolve the matter. If the grievance cannot be resolved within two weeks of its receipt, the Chairperson will forward it to the committee members for review, and they will decide if the grievance requires a formal hearing. If it does, the process for a formal hearing shall be initiated; otherwise, the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs and the concerned parties shall be informed in writing that the grievance does not deserve a hearing by the FGC as it does not fall within its jurisdiction.

As a first step in the hearing process, the FGC shall notify the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs about initiation of the process. It will also inform the concerned parties and provide them with relevant information and documents in order to prepare for the hearing. In this regard, the Chairperson of the FGC shall be responsible for all communication. The concerned parties shall be given reasonable time for preparation and
allowed to present their views personally, provide evidence, call witnesses and cross-examine the witnesses of the other party. Each party shall be informed about the identity of the witnesses at least three working days prior to the date of the hearing. It must, however, be noted that the witnesses will only come to the hearing on a voluntary basis and cannot be forced by either party to attend the hearing. A record of the hearing shall be prepared.

The FGC will try its best to obtain reliable and sufficient information to reach a decision. At the completion of the hearing, the FGC members will deliberate and reach a decision by majority vote. Within one week of the decision made by the FGC, the Chairperson shall present a report to the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs describing its findings and giving its recommendations. The report shall also include the account of the evidence presented by all parties. It must be noted that the recommendations of the FGC are of an advisory nature only and the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs makes the final decision. In addition, the report of the FGC may not be used as evidence by either party in a related legal action. After the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs has made a decision, the Chairperson of FGC shall inform the concerned parties about the final decision.

4. Appeals

A faculty member can file a written appeal to the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs requesting a review of a decision made by an official of the University. The decision must have directly affected the academic or professional activities of the appellant as an individual. The purpose of the appeal process is to determine whether appropriate procedures were followed in making certain kinds of academic or administrative decisions, rather than to re-evaluate the merits of the decisions themselves. The appellant should file his or her appeal within 30 days of being notified of the decision. In addition, the concerned faculty member or professional staff is encouraged to resolve the dispute informally before beginning the appeal process.

After making a preliminary review of the matter, which may include consultations with whomever the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs deems appropriate, the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs may undertake one of the following decisions:

- Grant the appeal;
- Remand the matter to a lower administrative level,
- Refer the matter directly to the concerned Faculty,
- Reject the appeal if it is found to be without merit.

The Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs may also appoint a committee to investigate the matter and report the findings back to him. In such a case, the committee will thoroughly investigate the matter and hold such consultations, as it deems necessary to arrive at responsible recommendations consistent with the policies of the University. At the completion of the investigation, the committee will prepare a detailed report and submit it to the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs. After receiving this report, the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs will make the final decision on the appeal that he deems appropriate. The appellant will be informed about the final decision made by the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs.
Appendix 1

Faculty Self-Assessment Report (FSAR)
Personal Information

Name .................................................................................................................................
Academic rank ................................................................................................................
Department .....................................................................................................................
College .............................................................................................................................
Joining date ....................................................................................................................
Title .................................................................................................................................

Important notes

- As per AU policy, the assessment of faculty performance is based on Teaching, Research and Service.
- The main purpose of the Faculty Self-Assessment Report (FSAR) is to provide the basis for a yearly performance appraisal.
- It is mandatory for all faculty to complete this Faculty Self-Assessment Report (FSAR).
- The faculty member must ensure that all available evidence of his performance is submitted with this Report (updated CV, Course description for all courses taught, samples of teaching material, publications, service to AU and Community, and other appropriate documentation).
- The Faculty member is advised to read AU policy on faculty members’ performance review.
I. Teaching

One of the most important factors in the teaching evaluation of faculty members is Course Files that shall also include the ICARs: Instructor Course Assessment reports (see Appendix 3). All faculty members are required to submit Course Files for all courses taught by them in the past two semesters. In addition, the faculty member must describe his/her achievements and contributions in the following aspects of teaching activity at both graduate and undergraduate levels.

I.1 Courses taught

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester</th>
<th>Course ID</th>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>No. of Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fall Semester 20--/20--

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester</th>
<th>Course ID</th>
<th>Course Title</th>
<th>No. of Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I.2 Contribution in course design and delivery

I.3 Contribution in program/curriculum development

I.4 Use of technology and modern methodologies to enhance teaching

I.5 Supervision of undergraduate students’ graduation projects and/or graduate theses and dissertations (Master and PhD)
I.6 Other teaching accomplishments and contributions

II. Research
The faculty member should describe his/her achievements and contributions in the following aspects of research activity.

II.1 Articles/Papers (published, accepted or submitted) in refereed journals and/or creative work

II.2 Articles/Papers (published, accepted or submitted) in refereed conference proceedings

II.3 Books/Chapters of book (published, accepted or submitted)

II.4 Supervision and Examining of postgraduate theses and dissertations (Master or Doctoral)

II.5 Research projects, and internal and external research grants

II.6 Other research accomplishments and contributions

III. Service
The faculty member should describe his/her service contributions, which include service to the University, service to the profession and service to the community.

III.1 Administrative positions held and membership of department, college and university committees

III.2 External connections and partnership, fund raising campaigns, etc..

III.3 Students’ advising and career counselling
III.4 Involvement in student co-curricular activities

III.5 Contributions to AU visibility

III.6 Professional activities undertaken as a practitioner or consultant

III.7 Volunteer work for local community serving on community and professional boards

III.8 Other services that provide recognition to the University
Faculty member comments

Signature of Faculty Member: Date:

(Your signature does not necessarily indicate that you are in agreement with the evaluation. Your signature only indicates that you have had the opportunity to review the evaluation and that you have discussed the content with your supervisor. You reserve the right to submit a written rebuttal of this evaluation to the dean within ten working days of this date).

Head of Department Comments:

Signature of Head of Department Date:

Dean/Chair of Review Committee Comments:

Signature of the Dean/Chair of Review Committee: Date:

Signature of Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs: Date:

Comments of Vice-Chancellor for Academic Affairs (in case he does not agree with the assessment of the Dean):
Appendix 2
Faculty Member Annual Evaluation
FACULTY ANNUAL EVALUATION

Purpose of Faculty Performance Review

In line with Ajman University’s commitment to faculty development and mentoring, and in order to achieve its expectations and processes for faculty mentoring and evaluation, the University continuously provides constructive feedback to its faculty through various channels. More precisely, the purpose of faculty performance review is to:

a. Provide all full time faculty with timely information regarding the extent to which they are meeting the college and university expectations of academic performance.
b. Identify aspects of a faculty member’s performance that may need further development.
c. Provide a framework to guide performance-related discussions between the faculty member, the Head of Department and the Dean.
d. Help faculty members to plan appropriate and relevant faculty development programs.
e. Help in planning performance-related reward and recognition programs for deserving faculty members.
f. Help determine faculty member eligibility for contact renewal.

Process Outline

- The faculty fills the form expressing a set of objectives for the academic year
- Faculty and HoD discuss the objectives, agree on the outcomes of three components - teaching, research and services - and decide on the appropriate weight for each component
- By the end of the academic year the HoD will have compiled, alongside faculty’s self-assessment report, all data related to the three components
- The HoD grades the three components and discusses results with the faculty who then approves and signs it, or appeals it before the dean

FACULTY MEMBER’S OBJECTIVES (To be set at the beginning of the Review period)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Smart Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Teaching Objectives:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Research Objectives:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Services Objectives:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Guidelines**

The evaluation criteria given below is for faculty members holding terminal degrees. The evaluation is based on performance in three categories; teaching, research, and services. Teaching weight should be between 40% and 60% while Research weight should be at least 30% of the final evaluation rating in line with AU policy. This percentage may vary in order to accommodate specific University, College, and Departmental needs. In such cases, an amended weighting will be determined during the goal-setting meeting at the beginning of the academic year between the faculty member and the HoD. A typical distribution of the weights is 50%, 30% and 20%, for Teaching, Research, and Services, respectively.

A Faculty Member who joins the University after the start of the academic year should meet with their HoD at the beginning of the joining semester to reach an understanding about the percentage that will apply.

For Classroom/Lab courses, the availability and quality of the course file, instructor course assessment report, student evaluations and peer review of teaching shall be the basis of evaluation for Teaching. Any other evidence used must be made known to the faculty member.

The performance of faculty members in each of the three components will be rated as *Excellent (5 points)*, *Very Good (4 points)*, *Good (3 points)*, *Satisfactory (2 points)* and *Unsatisfactory (0 points)* based on the Evaluation Criteria defined in the tables below.

The overall score (out of 5) of the faculty performance is calculated as the weighted average of the ratings of the three components, as follows:

\[
\text{Overall score} = \text{Teaching rating} \times \text{Teaching weight} + \text{Research rating} \times \text{Research weight} + \text{Services rating} \times \text{Services weight}
\]

If any of the components is rated Unsatisfactory then the above formula does not apply and overall score is set to 0.

As an illustration, if the weights and ratings of a faculty member are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Teaching</th>
<th>Research</th>
<th>Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td>Very Good (4)</td>
<td>Very Good (4)</td>
<td>Excellent (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall score = 4*0.5 + 4*0.3 + 5*0.2 = 4.2  (Very Good)

The overall rating is determined as follows:

**Unsatisfactory:** if any of the three components is rated Unsatisfactory

**Satisfactory:** if 2.0 ≤ Overall score < 3.0

**Good:** if 3.0 ≤ Overall score < 4.0

**Very Good:** if 4.0 ≤ Overall score < 4.5 AND Research rating is at least Good*

**Excellent:** if overall score ≥ 4.5 AND Research rating is at least Very Good**

* If the Research rating condition is not satisfied then the overall rating is Good.

** If the Research rating condition is not satisfied the then the overall rating is Very Good.
# EVALUATION CRITERIA

## TEACHING

**Evaluation Weighting:** Teaching will count as \[ \text{\%} \] (HoD to complete) of the overall performance rating. *(This is the percentage previously discussed with the Faculty Member.)*

**Rating** | **Criteria**
--- | ---
1: Unsatisfactory | A faculty member who fails to meet the criteria for satisfactory teaching. Indicators for this rating include the following:
   a. Faculty member does not provide feedback for examinations and other coursework in a timely manner
   b. Faculty member is not regularly available to students at posted office hours.
   c. Faculty member does not provide instructor course assessment report(s) and course file(s) in a timely manner
   d. Faculty member obtains student evaluations consistently below department/college averages

2: Satisfactory | A faculty member who meets minimum teaching expectations. A faculty member who earns a satisfactory rating in teaching (slightly below the college average) is expected to achieve all of the following:
   a. Meet the class at scheduled times unless there are extenuating circumstances
   b. Available in his/her office during posted office hours unless there is an unavoidable conflict
   c. Prepare examination questions and other coursework that appropriately cover CLOs
   d. Provide timely feedback for examinations and other coursework
   e. Effectively coordinate with other colleagues involved in team teaching a course or multiple sections of a course
   f. Effectively manage clinical teaching duties and control clinical activities
   g. Prepare and submit instructor course assessment report(s) and course file(s) of the course(s) taught in a timely manner
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 3: Good | A faculty member who performs above satisfactory levels. The faculty member consistently strives to foster a positive learning environment. The faculty member obtains student evaluations that are equal or better than the college average. The faculty member meets the criteria for satisfactory teaching, and additionally meets any three of the following:  
  a. Effectively supervise student dissertations/theses (where applicable)  
  b. Readily available to students at times other than university mandated office hours for discussion and counseling.  
  c. Show evidence of continuous improvement of the content and delivery of all courses taught  
  d. Adjudged in his/her peer review of teaching to be an excellent teacher  
  e. Effectively coordinate with adjunct faculty, at HoD request, to ensure that the latter meets teaching requirements.  
  f. Verifiably try new pedagogical methods and technologies  
  g. Actively participate in at least one faculty development initiative focused on teaching and learning  
  h. Develop and/or successfully deliver a new program or course in support of the department or college mission  
  i. Share teaching best practices from conferences or workshops with faculty colleagues  
  j. Actively participate in interdisciplinary/interdepartmental curriculum integration  
  k. Mentor new and/or junior faculty  
  l. Actively participate in program, college or university accreditation efforts |
| 4: Very Good | A faculty member who is verifiably recognized by students and his/her line manager as a very good teacher.  
  a. The faculty member consistently obtains student evaluations above the college averages.  
  b. The faculty member meets the criteria for satisfactory teaching, and additionally meets any five of the “good” criteria. |
| 5: Excellent | A faculty member who is clearly excellent in the classroom compared with his/her colleagues. The faculty member consistently obtains student evaluations that are significantly higher than college and department averages in all the courses he/she teaches.  
  The faculty member meets the criteria for satisfactory teaching, and additionally meets at least seven of the “Good” criteria. |
HoD Rating & Comments on Teaching:
☐ Excellent  ☐ Very Good  ☐ Good  ☐ Satisfactory  ☐ Unsatisfactory

Comments:
RESEARCH

Evaluation Weighting: Research will count as [______]% (HoD to complete) of the overall performance rating. *(This is the percentage previously discussed with the Faculty Member.)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>• No significant scholarly production during this year and last academic year, i.e. not meeting the “Satisfactory” rating requirements below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• One accepted/published paper or case study in a C journal, as classified in AU Guidelines for Research Publications, during this and the last academic year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Publication of a full paper in Scopus indexed conference proceedings during the academic year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Satisfactory</td>
<td>• One refereed creative work or exhibit during the academic year as listed in the following List of Creative Outputs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>TWO of the Following</em> during the academic year:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Presentation of a paper in an approved conference (based on an Abstract).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Significant development of a research project as attested by the College Research Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Approval of internal research grant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Publication of a book or a book chapter with a reputed publisher.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Approval of internal research travel grant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 3: Good | • One accepted/published paper or case study in a B journal, as classified in AU Guidelines for Research Publications, during the academic year  
OR  
• One refereed creative work or exhibit during the academic year as listed in the following List of Creative Outputs  
AND  
**One of the Following** during the academic year:  
• Publication of a full paper in Scopus indexed conference proceeding.  
• Publication of editorials or research comments in professional or academic publication.  
• Publication of a book or a book chapter with a reputed publisher, as per criteria outlined in AU Guidelines for Research Publications.  
• Approval of internal research grant.  
• Approval of internal research travel grant. |
| 4: Very Good | • One accepted/published paper or case study in an A journal, as classified in AU Guidelines for Research Publications, during the academic year  
OR  
• Major refereed creative work or exhibit of national importance during the academic year as listed in the List of Creative Outputs.  
AND  
**One of the Following** during the academic year:  
• Publication of a full paper in Scopus indexed conference proceeding.  
• Co-investigator or PI on an external research grant.  
• Publication of a book or a book chapter with a reputed publisher, as per criteria outlined in AU Guidelines for Research Publications.  
• Approval of internal research grant  
• Approval of an internal research travel grant |
5: Excellent

- Two accepted/published papers or case studies in an A journal, as classified in AU Guidelines for Research Publications, during the academic year

  OR

- One accepted/published paper or case study in an A* journal, as classified in AU Guidelines for Research Publications, during the academic year

  OR

- One major refereed creative work or exhibit of international importance during the academic year as listed in the following List of Creative Outputs

AND

One of the following during the academic year:

- Publication of a full paper in Scopus indexed conference proceeding.
- Co-investigator or PI on an external research grant.
- Publication of a book or a book chapter with a reputed publisher, as per criteria outlined in AU Guidelines for Research Publications.
- Approval of internal research grant.
- Approval of internal research travel grant.

**Notes:**

1. “Scholarly books” exclude text books used for teaching purposes or practitioners books. The focus is on books that contribute to research. Practitioner books and text books should be included under “Service”.
2. Creative works or exhibits are specific to the fields of Architecture, Art and Design only.
3. Creative works or exhibits of international importance: Where it is clear that the particular creative works have impact on international level, or where fellow practitioners independently accredit the creative works as of high quality through formal documented process or significant award or honor.
4. “Significant development of a research project” should be attested (with a justified evidence) as such by the Head of the College Research Committee.
5. Any accepted/published paper or case study in an approved and indexed peer reviewed journal with a minimum Scopus ranking of Q4, or at least C ranking on ABDC may be counted as a full paper in Scopus indexed conference proceeding.
6. Accepted/published papers or case studies in Arabic journals that are not Scopus-indexed will only be accepted if they are listed in the Arabic journal classification list approved by the University.

**List of Creative Outputs**

1. Creative work that won national or international architecture, art and design competitions (1st, 2nd or 3rd prize).
2. Design of innovative furniture or other industrial products.
3. Certificate of patent/invention related to architecture, art and design.
4. Creative and innovative design work, such as villas, hotels, malls, shops, coffee shops and restaurants.
5. Demonstrated original art or design exhibitions at national or international level in authorized galleries, museums, governmental or private well-recognized organizations and national or international exhibitions.
6. Completion of architectural project including letter from consultancy or client. Project size and complexity must be part of referees’ input.
7. Renovation and restoration of old or historic buildings.
8. Specialized work such as sustainability, feasibility, shading, acoustics or lighting.

**HoD Rating & Comments on Research:**
☐ Excellent  ☐ Very Good  ☐ Good  ☐ Satisfactory  ☐ Unsatisfactory

**Comments:**
SERVICES

Evaluation Weighting: Services will count as [ ]% (HoD to complete) of the overall performance rating. (This is the percentage previously discussed with the Faculty Member.)

Service: Faculty evaluation or appraisal in the service domain will be rated as needs improvement, satisfactory, good, very good or excellent. This rating will be objectively evaluated at the end of the academic year. The evaluation process will be based on a written report submitted by the faculty to the head of department supported by documented evidence of his/her performance and tasks accomplished.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1: Unsatisfactory | • Not involved in any service activities to college or university.  
• There is no evidence of professional activity at this level. The faculty member does not meet many of the satisfactory level requirements for Service. |
| 2: Satisfactory | A faculty member that fails to meet minimum expectations for service within the department and college can have their service evaluation reduced even if some higher-level service activities are present, unless otherwise information is provided to the Dean directly from the Chancellor or VCAA based on service assignments.  
The minimum expectations for service include the following activities:  
a. Serving on college committees with a positive evaluation from the committee director or other approved alternative demonstrating regular attendance to meetings and contributing to the work and activities of the committees.  
b. Effective service on departmental/unit committees as rated by the chair of that committee  
c. Regular attendance at department and college meetings  
d. Being a member in a professional organization  
e. Providing assigned advisees with academic advising that is judged as effective by unit director (e.g. meeting with interested students and providing knowledgeable curricular advice) |
| 3: Good | • In addition to meeting the minimum expectations for service, a significant level or number of professional or service activities can be used as evidence of satisfactory performance such as the following:  

Professional Activity  
a. Attendance at one professional meeting based on a specific invitation or nomination  
b. Participation in a professional development activity related to the Faculty Development Plan from the previous year. Professional activities are those activities, which contribute to the teaching and/or research capabilities of the faculty member. It must be a documented activity, which is approved by the unit chair.  

Community service  
a. Community service judged as significant by departmental chair/directors  
b. Effectively serving on one or more active University committees and/or College judged as being significant by unit chairs. |
c. Providing student advising judged as effective (meeting with a significant number of advisees and providing knowledgeable curricular advise) by unit director.

d. Student placement or recruitment activity judged as significant by unit director.

e. Serving as a session chair or serving in a voluntary capacity at a significant national or regional conference

f. Effectively teaching an assigned overload course or regional campus

g. One outside committee OR one admin job

- In addition to meeting the minimum expectations of service, a significant level or number of activities such as those listed below can be used as evidence of above average performance.
- A faculty member earning a very good in service will meet the minimum expectations for service and typically be engaged in some good level service activities:

**Professional Activity**

a. Participating in a faculty internship, or involvement in a project judged as significant by unit director

b. Organizing a conference, workshop, session, or panel judged as significant by unit chairs/directors.

c. Book and manuscript reviewing judged as significant by unit director

d. Attendance at multiple professional conferences

e. Holding an office or serving as a member on an active committee or board of a professional organization (i.e., the group met at least once during the year or that the position required some work)

f. Effectively serving on the editorial board of a journal

**Service Activity**

a. Effectively chairing an active departmental committee or task force that is judged as significant by unit director

b. Effectively leading a special departmental project judged as significant by the unit director

c. Effectively serving as advisor to an active club or student organization as determined by the members of that club or student organization

d. Alumni relations/fund-raising activity judged as significant by unit director

e. Career advising efforts judged as significant by unit director

f. Serving in a leadership role for student advising

g. Participation on a department or university committee that required a significant amount of time and effort.

h. Engaging in an above average number of unreported service activities (e.g. extra classes without compensation, etc.

i. One university’s Committee OR one outside committee and another admin job
A significant level & number of professional or service activities listed below can be used as evidence of excellent performance.

- A faculty member earning excellent in service will meet the minimum expectations for service and typically be engaged in some good and very good level service activities.
- A faculty member earning excellent in service must also be engaged in some internal service activities for the department or college.

**Professional Activity**

a. Effectively serve as the editor or assistant editor of a peer-reviewed journal  
b. Organizing and successfully presenting a program  
c. Effectively serving as member in or chairing a significant university or national committee  
d. Effectively serving as a chair at a national or regional conference  
e. Organizing and successfully presenting a conference, workshop, session, or panel judged as outstanding by unit director.

**Service**

a. Effectively heading a college unit and submitting an annual report summarizing the activities and accomplishments of the unit.  
b. Effectively serving as advisor to a student organization where a significant time commitment is required: i.e., working with a student group on a major project as determined by the members of the student group  
c. Serving effectively as a program director without release time  
d. Effectively chairing an active university committee or task force  
e. Engaging in a significant number of unreported service activities (e.g. extra classes without compensation, etc.)  
f. Serving as a trained teaching mentor for a college faculty member. This level of mentoring would typically be characterized by a close working relationship between the mentor and mentee and require significant time and effort while engaged in a formal and rigorous teaching development process.  
g. Serving effectively in two or more university committees OR one committee and another admin job (must be specified) OR Community service (internal or external).
**HoD Rating & Comments on Services:**

☐ Excellent  ☐ Very Good  ☐ Good  ☐ Satisfactory  ☐ Unsatisfactory

**Comments:**

**Scores and Ratings by HOD:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Teaching</th>
<th>Research</th>
<th>Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>xx %</td>
<td>yy %</td>
<td>zz %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>Score (out of 5)</td>
<td>Rating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature of HOD: ____________________________  Date: ________________

**Comments of Dean:**

*Please add space as needed.*
Final Scores and Ratings approved by the Dean:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Teaching</th>
<th>Research</th>
<th>Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>xx %</td>
<td>yy %</td>
<td>zz %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>Score (out of 5)</td>
<td>Rating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature of Dean: _______________________________  Date: __________________

Signature of Faculty: _______________________________  Date: __________________
Appendix 3

Instructor Course Assessment Report (ICAR)
1. General Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instructor Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Semester:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course Number:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course Delivery Format (Theory, Lab, Tutorial): (2, 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section Number:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Students:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Mark for Section:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Students’ Perceptions

a. Students’ Feedback with Respect to Course Related Issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Satisfaction Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>I had an adequate background for this subject.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Coursework assignments and projects were helpful to understand the subject.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>I found the course useful.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Textbook and references assigned to this course were appropriate and useful.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Students’ Feedback with Respect to Course Instructor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Satisfaction Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>The instructor presented the material well and clearly.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>The instructor was well-prepared for the lectures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>The instructor started and ended the lectures on time and was regular.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Satisfaction Rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1 The lab/studio/clinic instructor presented the practical material well and clearly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 The instructor was well-prepared for the lab/studio/clinic sessions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 The instructor started and ended the lab/studio/clinic on time and was regular.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 The instructor was fair in the evaluation of students’ work in lab/studio/clinic.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5 The instructor took interest in developing students’ practical skills and answered their questions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the course does not include Lab/Studio/Clinic, please respond with N/A
إذا لم يتضمن المساق على معمل/عيادة/استوديو برجاء اختيار N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>The lab/studio/clinic instructor presented the practical material well and clearly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>The instructor was well-prepared for the lab/studio/clinic sessions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>The instructor started and ended the lab/studio/clinic on time and was regular.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>The instructor was fair in the evaluation of students’ work in lab/studio/clinic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>The instructor took interest in developing students’ practical skills and answered their questions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q6 The instructor evaluated the students’ work in a timely manner.
قيّم الأستاذ أعمال الطلبة في الوقت المناسب.

Q7 The equipment/components/material available in the lab/studio/clinic were sufficient and in good working condition.
كانت المعدات/المواد الموجودة في المختبر/الأستوديو/العيادة كافية وتعمل جيداً.

Q8 Overall, the instructor’s performance in the lab/studio/clinic was excellent.
بصورة عامة، كان أداء الأستاذ في هذا المساق ممتازاً.

### d. Students’ Feedback with Respect to Course Learning Outcomes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Learning outcomes (CLOs)</th>
<th>Average Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Instructor’s Course Assessment

a. Grade Distribution

**Comments on Grade Distribution:**

**Course Learning Outcomes Assessment (Using the CAP Program)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Learning Outcomes</th>
<th>Average Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b. **Graph Representation of Student – Instructor Assessment of Course Learning Outcomes**

![Bar graph showing student perception vs instructor's assessment](image)

### Student Perception vs Instructor's Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning Outcomes</th>
<th>Student</th>
<th>Instructor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Achievement Level**

**d. How do students' perceptions concerning course learning outcomes differ from their assessment by the course instructor? Please comment on any discrepancy:**
5. Continuous Quality Improvement

a. Improvements relative to previous offering of the course:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Learning Outcomes NOT Attained in the Last Offering (Semester: 20... - ...) (ex: 2017-2) (Can be obtained from Head of CEC Committee or IE Coordinator)</th>
<th>Approved Actions for Improvements by ACIC and CEC Committees</th>
<th>Feedback on Actions Implemented this Semester by Instructor and their Effectiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Recommended improvements for course learning outcomes not achieved in the current offering if any:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Learning Outcomes NOT Attained in the CURRENT Semester</th>
<th>Suggested Actions for Improvements by Course Instructor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


c. General Feedback by Instructor for improving students' learning experience:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Instructor’s Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Appropriateness of the course learning outcomes</td>
<td>مدى ملاءمة مخرجات المساق التعلمية</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Extent to which the syllabus was covered</td>
<td>مدى نطاق تغطية عناصر المقرر</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Appropriateness of textbook and other learning resources</td>
<td>مدى ملاءمة الكتاب الدراسي والموارد التعلمية الأخرى</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Appropriateness of prerequisites</td>
<td>مدى ملاءمة المتطلبات المسبقة</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
d. Learning barriers and general comments on issues encountered in the course, if any:

Instructor’s Signature

Date
Appendix 4
Faculty Members Grievance Form
Please provide the information requested below. Limit your response to no more than five typewritten pages and attach it to this form.

1. Provide a detailed account of the incident, situation, or circumstance from which the grievance resulted. Be specific as to which policy or policies were apparently violated or might be lacking, which persons were involved, what harm or damages occurred, and what steps have been taken in an effort to resolve the complaint.
2. Explain what relief is being sought through the grievance process.
3. Provide the name, phone number, and e-mail address of each person you might wish to call as a witness at a grievance hearing.
4. Please state if you have any objection regarding the presence of either one voting member of the Faculty Grievance Committee or the Chairperson to be present at the hearing. If you wish to make such a request, please indicate the just cause. Attach supporting documentation (if any) and submit the complete package to the Chair of the Faculty Grievance Committee.

Note: The College Dean must be consulted prior to the filing of grievance. Indicate the date you met with the Dean: